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The effect of the dynamics of external load changes in the aspect of the lower limit response time of the competitors of mixed martial arts (MMA) taking into account weight categories and sport experience

Abstract
Background: The main aim of this study is to analyze the influence of the external load on the reaction time of the lower limbs of mixed martial arts fighters. Material and methods: The research group consisted of 31 senior MMA fighters (with training experience of at least 10 years, divided into two weight categories: light (66-70 kg) and heavy (over 93 kg) and each of them into two categories: intermediate and advanced). The Keizer Leg Press pneumatic device (Keizer, Fresno, CA, USA) was used to evaluate the reaction time of the lower limbs of MMA fighters. The subjects performed a 20-minute warm-up and then worked on the Keizer Leg Press measuring device according to the manufacturer's protocol. Based on this data, a 10 single repetitions test was performed. The value of the external resistance and the time to the next repetition were not changed to obtain the measurement data. Results: The obtained results indicate a constant deterioration of the value of the RTLBL and RTLL variable when increasing the external load in both studied groups. In the case of advanced players in the 66-70 kg weight group, a clear (statistically significant) increase in the value of the RTLBL and RTLL variable occurred from 70% 1RM, while in the intermediate group it was from 50% 1RM, and from 80% 1RM in the weight category above 93 in both study groups. Growth dynamics was lower in the advanced group in both tested weight categories. Conclusions: Performing the progression of the external load may allow the detection of the dominance of one lower limb and determination of the dynamics of differences in the reaction time of the frontal and back limb in MMA fighters.

Keywords
mixed martial arts, training, external load, reaction time

Creative Commons License
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0 License.

Authors
Angelina Ignatjeva, Magdalena Nitychoruk, Artur Terbalyan, Alan Langer, Agata Wacek, and Adam Maszczyk

This article is available in Baltic Journal of Health and Physical Activity: https://www.balticsportscience.com/journal/ vol13/iss2/1
The effect of the dynamics of external load changes in the aspect of the lower limit response time of the competitors of mixed martial arts (MMA) taking into account weight categories and sport experience

Angelina Ignatjeva1 ABCDEF, Magdalena Nitychoruk1 ABCDE, Artur Terbalyan1 BDEF, Alan Langer1 BDEF, Agata Wacek1 CDEG, Adam Maszczyk2 CDEG

1 Department of Sport Theory, The Jerzy Kukuczka Academy of Physical Education, Katowice, Poland
2 Institute of Sport Sciences, The Jerzy Kukuczka Academy of Physical Education, Katowice, Poland

abstract

Background: The main aim of this study is to analyze the influence of the external load on the reaction time of the lower limbs of mixed martial arts fighters.

Material and methods: The research group consisted of 31 senior MMA fighters (with training experience of at least 10 years, divided into two weight categories: light (66-70 kg) and heavy (over 93 kg) and each of them into two categories: intermediate and advanced). The Keizer Leg Press pneumatic device (Keizer, Fresno, CA, USA) was used to evaluate the reaction time of the lower limbs of MMA fighters. The subjects performed a 20-minute warm-up and then worked on the Keizer Leg Press measuring device according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Based on this data, a 10 single repetitions test was performed. The value of the external resistance and the time to the next repetition were not changed to obtain the measurement data.

Results: The obtained results indicate a constant deterioration of the value of the RTLMB and RTLMB variable when increasing the external load in both studied groups. In the case of advanced players in the 66-70 kg weight group, a clear (statistically significant) increase in the value of the RTLMB and RTLMB variable occurred from 70% 1RM, while in the intermediate group it was from 50% 1RM, and from 80% 1RM in the weight category above 93 in both study groups. Growth dynamics was lower in the advanced group in both tested weight categories.

Conclusions: Performing the progression of the external load may allow the detection of the dominance of one lower limb and determination of the dynamics of differences in the reaction time of the frontal and back limb in MMA fighters.

Key words: mixed martial arts, training, external load, reaction time.
INTRODUCTION

Mixed martial arts (MMA) is a full combative sport with a global increase in popularity. MMA has been characterized by a combination of actions of high intensity and short duration such as striking and grappling. These actions highlighted the need for high levels of muscle power [1] – of both upper and lower limbs – and muscle strength – both dynamic [2] and isometric [1] – as well as high levels of muscular and aerobic endurance [3]. In a methodologically correct MMA training action, the basis is to raise the functional level of strength motor skills first, then speed, endurance and coordination skills. These abilities in combat are significantly correlated with the reaction time, which can be defined as the time elapsing from the stimulus to the initiation of movement [4–6]. Reaction time is an important element in athletes’ preparation, a significant factor in the speed of movement, and fundamental in achieving sports success. In MMA, the reaction time depends on the visual stimulus caused by the opponent’s action. During a fight, the competitor must simultaneously and constantly try to decode the rival in terms of the attack strategy, as well as his movements in terms of defense or counterattack [7–8]. Moreover, during a fight, competitors must perform movement tasks not only with extreme precision, but also under time pressure [9]. The high variability and high intensity of physical exertion during combat lead to physical and mental fatigue. The player’s low resistance to physical fatigue plays a huge role in a failure situation. In the absence of adequate fitness, at some point the technique begins to fail, and the technical instructions from the trainer become more and more difficult to perform [10]. Gradual resistance muscle strength training increases muscle strength, speed and endurance in a safe manner and allows muscles to accustom to the weight. Appropriate strength preparation of the lower limbs in mixed martial arts fighters enables achieving a high level of leg speed, and consequently, to perform effective attacks, avoiding the opponent’s blows. Unfortunately, in MMA training, both lower limbs are often not taken into account, and repetitive, asymmetric loads are practiced, which may lead to muscle imbalance and increase a risk of injury [11].

Measurement of reaction time is an important tool in determining the percentages of the resistance at which the peak fatigue moment is exceeded, when the athlete’s movement becomes noticeably slower with his reaction to resistance. Increasing the resistance may allow detection of the dominance of one lower limb and determination of the dynamics of differences in the reaction time of the frontal and back limb in MMA fighters.

The main aim of this study was to analyze the influence of resistance on the reaction time of lower limbs of mixed martial arts fighters. This problem was considered in terms of weight categories and the sports level of MMA fighters. Undertaking this research is justified by the limited amount of data on the influence of resistance on the reaction time of the lower limbs. It is also difficult to find information, taking into account these variables, with regard to the position of the lower limbs, namely the front and back limbs. The research results are intended to optimize the training process among intermediate and advanced MMA fighters, in weight categories 66–70 kg and over 93.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STUDIED GROUP

The research group consisted of 31 men with training experience of at least 10 years. The subjects were divided into two weight categories: light (66–70 kg) and heavy (over 93 kg). The system of selecting fighters for research was mixed. The classification of fighters was developed on the basis of the Ranking of Polish MMA Fighters. In the course of the research, 3 fighters were eliminated due to injuries and contusions. 14 competitors were qualified for each weight category. The research was conducted in the starting period.
TEST METHODS
The Keizer Leg Press pneumatic device (Keizer, Fresno, CA, USA) was used to evaluate the reaction time of MMA fighters’ lower limbs. The device allows measuring the reaction time independently for both lower limbs with simultaneous registration of the speed of obtaining this variable. The Keizer Leg Press A420 series measures individual variables over the entire range of motion. The machine allows for individual registration of the number of repetitions of the exercise of the following variables: reaction time [s], peak power [W], force level at which the maximum power was obtained [N], maximum single load (CM, 1RM). The body weight measurement was performed, in the morning, on an empty stomach, on an InBody 370 measuring device, operating on the basis of electrical impedance.

ORGANIZATION AND COURSE OF RESEARCH
All testing was performed in the Strength and Power Laboratory at the Jerzy Kukuczka Academy of Physical Education in Katowice. The tests were conducted in the afternoon after a light carbohydrate meal. Before the warm-up, the participants provided information on which limb is the back leg. Before the actual measurements, the subjects performed a 20-minute warm-up: running on a treadmill (5 minutes), riding a bicycle ergometer (10 minutes), strength exercises and dynamic stretching exercises involving the upper and lower body parts (5 minutes). The final element of the warm-up was work on the Keizer Leg Press measuring device according to the manufacturer’s protocol, in which the knee bend between the thigh and the lower leg was 90 degrees. The 10RM test begins with the countdown on the user’s display when the value reaches 0. The device automatically increases the resistance by an average of 10% of the RM value and starts counting down the time to the next repetition. The pause time between the first repetitions is relatively short, and increases with increasing resistance. Based on this data, a single repetition test was performed, where the first two repetitions were not taken into account and the value of the external resistance and the time to the next repetition were not changed to obtain the measurement data.

STATISTICAL METHODS
The obtained results were analyzed statistically. In order to determine the differences between individual weight categories and depending on the sports level in terms of the power generated in the lower back and front limbs, one-way ANOVA and post-hoc tests were used [12-14]. In order to determine differences within groups (weight category, sports level), Student’s T-test was used. Statistical significance was set at p<0.05. The calculations were made with the use of the STATISTICA 10 statistical package by StatSoft.

RESULTS
In the group of competitors of the 66–71 kg weight category, the analysis of data showed a constant deterioration of the value of the variable concerning the reaction time of the lower back limb (RTLBL) when increasing external resistance, in both studied groups. In the case of advanced fighters, a significant increase in the value of the variable occurred from 70% of the external resistance, while in the intermediate group - from 50%. The growth dynamics was also slower in the advanced group (Figure 1).

With regard to the variable concerning the lower limb reaction time (RT_LL), the data analysis showed a very similar increase and its dynamics as in the case of RT_LBL (Figure 2).
Table 1 presents the results of the index analysis for the RT_{LBL} and RT_{LL} variables in the group of advanced competitors in the 66–71 kg weight category, taking into account relative gains. The highest relative increase, constant in the range of the value of the RT_{LBL} variable for 10% of the external resistance, was recorded at 90% and 100% load. The highest relative chain increase in the value of the RT_{LBL} variable was recorded for 70% and 100% of the external resistance value, in relation to the preceding load. Similarly, for the RT_{LL} variable in the group of advanced fighters, the highest relative constant increase in its value was recorded at 90% and 100% load. Taking into account the relative chain increment, the largest increase in the value of the RT_{LL} variable took place for 30% and 100% of the external resistance value, in relation to the preceding load.

Table 2 presents the results of the index analysis for the RT_{LBL} and RT_{LL} variables in the group of intermediate competitors in the 66–71 kg weight category, taking into account relative gains. The highest relative increase, constant in the range of the value of the RT_{LBL} variable with reference to 10% of the external resistance, was recorded at 90% and 100% load. Based on the calculated relative chain increment, the largest increase in the value of the RT_{LBL} variable was recorded for 60% of the external resistance value, in relation to the preceding load, and a decrease for 70% of the external resistance. For the RT_{LL} variable in the group of intermediate fighters, the largest increases in indices with a fixed base were recorded at 90% and 100% load. On the other hand, the highest relative chain increase in the value of the RT_{LL} variable was recorded for 60% of the external resistance value, in relation to the preceding load, and the decrease for 70% of the external resistance (Figure 4).
Data analysis showed a clear upward trend in the averaged values of the \( \text{RT}_{\text{L.L.}} \) variable from the value of 80% of the external resistance in both studied groups. In the case of advanced fighters, the growth dynamics was greater than the value of 60% of the load and increased over the mentioned 80%. Among intermediate advanced fighters, an increase in dynamics and a positive trend were noticed from the value of 80%, with the increase between 70%–80% remaining at a similar level (Figure 3).

With regard to the averaged values of the \( \text{RT}_{\text{L.L.}} \) variable, the data analysis showed a similar increase with a similar range of external resistance. Both groups showed a continuous increase with a positive trend to 90% of the external resistance. Then, a negative trend was observed and a sharp decline after exceeding 90% of the external resistance (Figure 4).
Table 3 presents the results of the index analysis for the RT\textsubscript{LBL} and RT\textsubscript{LL} variables in the group of advanced competitors in the weight category over 93 kg, taking into account relative gains. The highest relative increase, constant in the range of the value of the RT\textsubscript{LBL} variable with respect to 10% of the external resistance, was recorded at 90% and 100% load. The highest relative chain increase in the value of the RT\textsubscript{LBL} variable was recorded for 70% of the external resistance, in relation to the preceding load. The greatest decrease in the value of the variable was for 11% external load. Similarly, for the RT\textsubscript{LL} variable in the group of advanced fighters, the highest relative constant increase in its value was recorded at 90% and 100% load. On the other hand, the largest relative chain increment in the value of the RT\textsubscript{LL} variable took place for 90% of the external resistance, in relation to the preceding load. The greatest decrease in the value of the variable was for 11% external resistance.

Table 4 presents the results of the index analysis for the RT\textsubscript{LBL} and RT\textsubscript{LL} variables in the group of intermediate competitors in the weight category over 93 kg, taking into account relative gains. The highest relative increase, constant in the range of the value of the RT\textsubscript{LL} variable with reference to 10% of the external resistance, was recorded at 90% and 100% load. Based on the calculated relative chain increment, the highest increase in the value of the RT\textsubscript{LBL} variable was recorded for 90% of the external resistance value, in relation to the preceding load. The greatest decrease in the value of the variable for 80% of the external resistance.

For the RT\textsubscript{LL} variable in the group of intermediate fighters, the highest relative constant increase was recorded at 90% load. The highest relative chain increase in the value of the
RT\textsubscript{LL} variable took place for 90% of the external resistance, in relation to the preceding load. The greatest decrease in the value of the variable was for 100% external resistance.

Table 3. Dynamics of RT\textsubscript{LBL} and RT\textsubscript{LL} changes in the group of advanced players in the weight category above 93 kg, taking into account relative gains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of the external load</th>
<th>RT\textsubscript{LBL} [s]</th>
<th>Constant relative increase [%]</th>
<th>Chain relative increment [%]</th>
<th>p*</th>
<th>RT\textsubscript{LL} [s]</th>
<th>Constant relative increase [%]</th>
<th>Chain relative increment [%]</th>
<th>p*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>19.0 &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>16.5 &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>-17.3 &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>-15.7 &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>5.8 &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>4.1 &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>-0.5 &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>3.7 &gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>9.6 &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>15.3 &gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>134.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>27.9 &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>143.7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>22.9 &gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>186.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>-22.2 &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>196.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>-25.3 &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>301.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>18.0 &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>339.8</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>27.0 &gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>300.8</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>-40.3 &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>319.8</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>-53.1 &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P values have been calculated according to constant relative increments and chain relative increments.

Table 4. Dynamics of changes in RT\textsubscript{LBL} and RT\textsubscript{LL} in the group of intermediate players in the weight category 66–70 kg, taking into account relative gains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of the external load</th>
<th>RT\textsubscript{LBL} [s]</th>
<th>Constant relative increase [%]</th>
<th>Chain relative increment [%]</th>
<th>p*</th>
<th>RT\textsubscript{LL} [s]</th>
<th>Constant relative increase [%]</th>
<th>Chain relative increment [%]</th>
<th>p*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>27.8 &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>30.9 &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>-18.4 &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>-25.4 &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>5.5 &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>3.7 &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>-11.1 &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>-8.0 &gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>14.6 &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>6.5 &gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>135.2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>23.1 &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>120.9</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>26.5 &gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>150.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>-30.3 &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>148.2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>-21.9 &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>267.6</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>40.7 &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>262.7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>33.8 &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>337.3</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>-28.1 &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>185.5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>-67.4 &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P values have been calculated according to constant relative increments and chain relative increments.

The analysis of variance ANOVA with repeated measurements showed no significant differences between the chain increments of the RT\textsubscript{LBL} and RT\textsubscript{LL} variables depending on the weight category and the research group (Table 5).
Table 5. The result of the analysis of variance of the $RT_{LBL}$ and $RT_{LL}$ variables depending on the weight category and the level of training of the competitor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyzed variable</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$RT_{LBL}$ vs $RT_{LL}$ – 66 kg – advanced</td>
<td>0.929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$RT_{LBL}$ vs $RT_{LL}$ – 66 kg – intermediate</td>
<td>0.994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$RT_{LBL}$ vs $RT_{LL}$ – 93 kg – advanced</td>
<td>0.965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$RT_{LBL}$ vs $RT_{LL}$ – 93 kg – intermediate</td>
<td>0.752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$RT_{LBL}$ – 66 kg vs. 93 kg – advanced</td>
<td>0.960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$RT_{LBL}$ – 66 kg vs. 93 kg – intermediate</td>
<td>0.412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$RT_{LL}$ – 66 kg vs. 93 kg – advanced</td>
<td>0.960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$RT_{LL}$ – 66 kg vs. 93 kg – intermediate</td>
<td>0.784</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Many factors affect a player's reaction time, including fatigue, the level of stimulation of non-specific structures of the nervous system, age, sex, mental state, stress, circadian cycle, nutrition, drug use, genetic conditions, as well as individual predispositions [15-17]. There are two types of perceptual abilities related to sports performance. The first one is based on primitive, basic sensory functions that are unrelated to an athlete's area of specialization and include factors such as visual acuity and visual field [4]. Training specific to these skills is not believed to improve athletic performance [4, 18-20]. The second type involves sport-specific sensory skills developed during sport training. The simple response time is the shortest time required to respond to a single stimulus. Most reports showed differences in the simple reaction time between athletes and recreational training to the benefit of athletes [2-22]. However, some reports did not detect differences in the time of the simple reaction, suggesting that this parameter is not amenable to training [4]. From the results of our own research, no significant statistical differences were also found in the reaction time of the lower limbs between advanced and intermediate fighters with percentages from 10-100% of the external resistance in both weight categories.

In this study, the greatest difference (statistically insignificant) in the reaction time between the front limb and the back limb, and the greatest constant relative gain (%) with the use of resistances exceeding 90% and up to 100% of the maximum weight in both weight categories, was found. The results of own research allow for the conclusion that training of maximum strength capabilities allows detecting the dominance of one lower limb, athletes' endurance and their resistance to fatigue. Ruchan [23], who analyzed the impact of fatigue of elite Turkish wrestlers on the reaction time immediately before and after sports competition, found a (statistically insignificant) relationship between the variable at rest and the variable after a sports fight, where the reaction time before the competition ($227.62 ± 64.71$ ms) was shorter than immediately after the competition ($280.37 ± 64.71$ ms). There are several similar studies in the literature in which the reaction time immediately after loading with 40% 1RM, 60% 1RM and 80% 1RM was analyzed among athletes practicing tennis, boxing and table tennis, and they showed that the reaction time was extended [24].

The obtained results of this research indicate a constant deterioration of the value of the $RT_{LBL}$ and $RT_{LL}$ variable with increasing external resistance in both studied groups. In the case of advanced fighters in the 66–70 kg weight group, a clear (statistically significant) increase in the value of the $RT_{LBL}$ and $RT_{LL}$ variable occurred from 70% 1RM, while in the intermediate group it was from 50% 1RM, and from 80% 1RM in the weight category above 93 in both study groups. Growth dynamics was lower in the advanced group in both tested weight categories. The results of own research allow concluding that less
experienced fighters feel fatigue faster than more experienced ones and have a shorter reaction time (no statistical significance). Similar conclusions were made by Johne et al. [25] in research on the asymmetry of complex reaction time of epee-lesions of varying degrees of advancement using the RT Test (Reaction Time, form S5). On the basis of the obtained results, it was found that the competitors with a higher sports class had a shorter reaction time.

Also in Borysiuk’s research [26] on fencers, the obtained results indicate a shorter simple reaction time in the more experienced athletes, classified in the International Fencing Federation (FIE) / Olympic group (average age = 23.28) than in the athletes classified in the Polish Federation Fencing / junior groups (average age = 17.23), and than in the case of competitors classified in the Silesian Fencing Association (average age = 14.52).

In this research, ANOVA analysis of variance with repeated measurements showed no significant differences between the chain increments of the $\text{RT}_{\text{LBL}}$ and $\text{RT}_{\text{LL}}$ variable depending on the weight category and the research group.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The obtained results of motor tests can be used to optimize the training process of MMA fighters. Performing the progression of the external resistance on the Keizer Leg Press A420 pneumatic device enabled accurately determining the dynamics of differences in the reaction time of the back limb and front limb in MMA fighters. The use of resistances exceeding 90% and reaching 100% of the maximum weight allows detecting the dominance of one lower limb. Taking into account the individual weight categories, sports level, muscle strength, reaction time and individual motor potential, the authors of this article conclude that the dynamics and nature of the fight may significantly differ depending on the above-mentioned factors.
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