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Abstract

Background: The outbreak of COVID-19 changed the normality of daily life. Due to mobility restriction measures, physical and mental health in general population became a remarkable concern for researchers and health professionals.

Material and methods: This article briefly reviews the evidence of mental and physical health consequences during COVID-19 pandemic. The review was conducted in October 2020 through PubMed and Web of Science with the searching frame: Mental health and Physical health and COVID-19. The study selection process followed the PRISMA flow diagram.

Results: The reported mental consequences were mainly negative psychological effects including stress symptoms, anxiety, and depression. The total physical activity in MET-minutes/week demonstrated a statistically significant reduction between before and during COVID-19 pandemic. Specifically, males showed higher significant variation of Δ-MET compared with females (p < 0.001). Also, the reduction of total physical activity was related to worse psychological well-being (r = 0.07541, p < 0.001).

Conclusions: In the general population, both mental and physical health consequences call for academic concern, and investigation from different social layers of population needs to be justified. Discovery of mental and physical promotion interventions to outline the psychological, social, and physiological aspects of the pandemic are required.
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INTRODUCTION

On January 23, 2020, the Chinese government announced lockdown to respond to the coronavirus virus outbreak in Wuhan [1]. On 30 January 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared the coronavirus virus SARS-CoV-2 as an international public health emergency. In a short time, SARS-CoV-2 swept across the world, inducing a global epidemic of COVID-19 disease. The pandemic has resulted in worldwide public health concern and become a global health threat [2]. With the increasing cumulative cases worldwide, more and more countries executed the lockdown policy since February 2020. Mobility restriction measurements such as curfew, home-based quarantine, confinement, etc. were adopted as prevention strategies to control the spreading and infection rate of the virus. In addition, a number of countries implemented social distancing, travel bans, cancellation of sporting and other mass participation events, and changes to work practices, which have dramatically affected daily life.

Researchers have deployed multiple resources to understand the psychological, social, and neuroscientific effects of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is already evident that the direct and indirect psychological and social effects of the COVID-19 pandemic are pervasive and could affect mental health [3–4]. Given that the changes in the ways that people normally engage in everyday activities impact health and well-being, the health concern is prevalent for those in self-isolation or quarantine, where feelings of depression, fear, guilt, and anger may occur [5]. Also, a neuropsychiatric linkage between the outbreak of acute emergency and mental distress impacts the general population, which has led to long-term social unrest [6]. It has been reported that depression symptoms indicate a considerable psychological morbidity in response to acute natural disasters in the general population [7]. During the COVID-19 pandemic, healthy residents are recommended to keep physical distance and wear masks, which may exacerbate the negative effects; thus, the mental and physical health consequences among the general population should be of great concern.

An increasing number of studies have highlighted potential health consequences during COVID-19. Previous research found that mental health is associated with physical activity, sleep quality, and quality of life in both clinical and nonclinical populations [8]. In addition, the severity of the COVID-19 outbreak has an indirect effect on negative emotions by affecting sleep quality [9]. COVID-19 pandemic has restricted physical activity, which may lead to reduced endurance capacity, loss of muscle strength and mass, tendon ruptures and reduced joint tribology [10]. Therefore, the mental health and physical health should be emphasized especially during and after the COVID-19 epidemic.

The mental and physical health consequences after COVID-19 have rarely been discussed. Based on the present knowledge, this study reviewed the existing evidence about mental and physical health during COVID-19 period in order to provide a better understanding of the public health concern in the general population.

In view of the above, this study aims (1) to highlight the importance of mental health and physical health during COVID-19; (2) to review mental and physical health among general population during COVID-19; (3) and to provide better understanding of the mental and physical health consequences during COVID-19.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

DATABASE SEARCH

The search strategy followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) [11]. Keywords were extracted from a preliminary review of the
literature, the search was performed in two databases: PubMed and Web of Science. The search structure was: Mental health AND Physical health AND COVID-19. The quality of the included studies was assessed by using the Study Quality Assessment Tools (NIH). The present review involved cross-sectional studies and systematic review studies; therefore, two tools were adopted: Quality Assessment of Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses; Quality Assessment Tool for Observational Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies. Figure 1 shows the results of the quality assessment of the included articles. The search was completed in October, 2020.

Fig. 1. The quality assessment of the included articles
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#1: Quality Assessment of Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses
#2: Quality Assessment Tool for Observational Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies

**Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**

The review sought to identify papers that described or reviewed a general population from mental and physical health perspective during the COVID-19 pandemic. The research highlighting mental health studies increased rapidly due to the confinement policy, and this review concerned with the current state of mental and physical health especially among the general population. The time frame of the data search included studies that were published or were in press between January 2020 and December 2020. The inclusion criteria were as follows: studies were conducted in the context of COVID-19 epidemic, studies focused on general citizens, studies were published in English, studies were available in full-text. The exclusion criteria applied to studies that involved in healthcare professional including nurses, doctors, and clinical physicians, studies were short reports or mini interpretive reviews of mental and physical health concern.

**Bias Assessment**

The included studies were limited to those of a cross-sectional nature and systematic reviews. Given that there is no software to assess the publication bias, we used the Cochrane Collaboration Risk of Bias tool [12] to assess all of the included articles. The Cochrane tool examined all of the included studies from 5 domains: adequacy of allocation sequence; allocation concealment; blinding of participants, personnel, and outcome assessors; incomplete outcome data; selective outcome reporting. The detailed risk of bias assessment is listed in Table 1.
Table 1 Assessment of risk of bias

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[13]</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[14]</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[15]</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>unclear</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>uncertain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[16]</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[17]</td>
<td>unclear</td>
<td>unclear</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[18]</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: “Low” indicates low risk of bias; “High” indicates high risk of bias; “Uncertain” indicates the risk of bias is uncertain.

DATA ANALYSIS

The variety of study purposes, subjects, and reported outcomes meant that a meta-analysis was unfeasible, so a narrative summary of the included studies was prepared to draw conclusions. One significance of the present review was to have comprehensive evidence supporting the mental and physical health consequences among general population during and after a period of COVID-19.

RESULTS

Fig. 2 Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses flow diagram
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In total, 773 articles were retrieved using the search term in the two databases. Of these, 41 were selected for the full-text review, and 6 were selected for inclusion in the narrative review. The selection flow diagram and the reasons for exclusion in the full-text review stage are presented in detail in Figure 2. The included articles focus on the interaction between mental health and physical health during the COVID-19 pandemic, among which two articles emphasized the implications of mental health. The number of studies discussing physical health was scant compared with the number of studies highlighting mental health.

**Study Characteristics**

The characteristics of the included studies are summarized in Table 2. The included articles (n=6) cover subjects from Australia (n=1), China (n=1) and Italy (n=2). Through literature search, we detected similar articles focusing on mental health conducted in different regions, we only selected the study conducted at the country level and involving a representative sample size. Two articles were systematic review articles, and the remaining four articles were cross-sectional studies. The two systematic studies were included because they provided useful information for the present review, for instance, the cross-sectional studies provided prevalence data of mental and physical health among the general population; one systematic review [15] also reviewed the prevalence data in a much broader context. The other review study provided additional data on mental health consequences of quarantine and physical distance. All articles were selected purposefully based on the objectives of the present review study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Main variables</th>
<th>Measurements/Scales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[13]</td>
<td>1491 adults (mean age 50.5 ± 14.9 years, 67% female).</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>cross-sectional study</td>
<td>depression, anxiety, stress; physical activity; sleep and alcohol use</td>
<td>Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS 21); Active Australia Survey (AAS); Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test Consumption (AUDIT-C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[14]</td>
<td>1738 respondents from 190 Chinese cities</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>cross-sectional study</td>
<td>post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD); stress, anxiety and depression</td>
<td>Impact of Event Scale-Revised (IES-R); Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS-21);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[15]</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>review study</td>
<td>stress; anxiety; depression</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[16]</td>
<td>2524 subjects (56.4%female)</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>cross-sectional study</td>
<td>physical activity energy expenditure; mental well-being</td>
<td>International Physical Activity Questionnaire; Psychological General Well Being Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[17]</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>review study</td>
<td>nutrition; physical activity</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[18]</td>
<td>18147 respondents (79.6% women)</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>cross-sectional study</td>
<td>post-traumatic stress symptoms; depression, anxiety, insomnia, perceived stress, and adjustment disorder symptoms</td>
<td>Post-traumatic stress symptoms (PTSS); Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9); Generalized Anxiety Disorder scale (GAD-7); Insomnia Severity Index (ISI); Perceived Stress Scale (PSS); International Adjustment Disorder Questionnaire (IADQ)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: N/A: not applicable
Prevalence of mental and physical health during COVID-19

In this study, the prevalence of mental health and physical health among the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic was assessed. One systematic review study that involved databases including Science Direct, Scopus, Embase, PubMed, Google Scholar and Web of Science databases focusing on stress, depression and anxiety during COVID-19 reported that prevalence of stress with a total sample size of 9,074 is 29.6% (95% confidence limit: 24.3–35.4), the prevalence of anxiety with a sample size of 63,439 is 31.9% (95% confidence interval: 27.5–36.7), and the prevalence of depression with a sample size of 44,531 people is 33.7% (95% confidence interval: 27.5–40.6) [15]. Furthermore, during the pandemic, the levels of anxiety, depression and stress were significantly higher in younger individuals (18-45 years) compared to older subjects [13].

Governments prohibited a great majority of outdoor exercise and social activities (e.g. going to the gym) and imposed social distancing and containment of movement measures, which may result in a reduction of physical activity. The search results showed that the total physical activity in MET- minutes/week demonstrated a statistically significant difference between before and during COVID-19 pandemic (mean: 2429 vs. 1577 MET-min/wk, p < 0.001) [16]. During COVID-19 confinement, percentage of low active individuals increased up to 39.62% [16]. Moreover, males showed higher significant variation of Δ-MET compared with females (p < 0.001). Even though the World Health Organization (WHO) released “Stay physically active during self-quarantine” guidance on how to stay active and reduce sedentary behavior while at home, little was known about the effects of home-based physical activity [17].

Mental and physical health consequences after COVID-19

Research evaluated the mental health and assessed the physical activity level during COVID-19, whereas, limited attention was paid to the period of the pandemic. The existing data in the present review indicated that mental health symptoms such as anxiety, depression and stress were commonly accompanied with modified lifestyles including physical exercise behavior. Given the differences in socio-cultural characteristics and historical context, which obviously impact people’s behavior and attitudes, the mental and physical health consequences may differ after the period of COVID-19. In a population study in China, no significant difference was found regarding stress (t = −0.30, p > 0.05, 95% CI: 0.79 - 0.58), depression (t = −0.41, p > 0.05, 95% CI: 0.77 - 0.50) and anxiety (t = 0.36, p > 0.05, 95% CI: 0.58 - 0.60) at the beginning and during the pandemic [15]. In Italy, researchers found high rates of negative mental health outcomes (17.3% for depression, 20.8% for anxiety, 21.8% for high perceived stress in the general population [18].

Research showed that the reduction of total physical activity was related to worse psychological well-being (r = 0.07541, p < 0.001) [17]. Specifically, the association was significant in the group of young adults rather than in other age groups (r = 0.1168, p < 0.001). The association was significant in high active (r = 0.1322, p < 0.001) and moderate active (r = 0.1322, p < 0.001) participants rather than low active participants (r = 0.08157, p = 0.05) [17]. Nevertheless, reduced physical activity was positively associated with an increase in smoking, alcohol intake, depression, anxiety and stress [13]. The results from literature suggested that a reduction of physical activity is associated with deleterious health outcomes including mental and physical health. Limited physical activity and inability to take a regular walk out of one’s home as a consequence of collective quarantine, which may be associated with several metabolic effects that would increase the cardiovascular risk [17].
DISCUSSION

This study reviewed the prevalence of mental health effects and changes in physical activity and presented comparative data on mental and physical health in the general population following the COVID-19 pandemic. Mental and physical health consequences through cross-sectional and literature review studies have been interpreted by examining 6 related articles. Moreover, this study analyzed evidence from different regions. The mental and physical health may be negatively influenced by COVID-19, but the detailed changes tailoring to social characteristics, culture etc. should be highlighted.

A number of reports suggest COVID-19 contributes to severe psychological impacts [19-20]. Researchers have largely highlighted the negative impacts of COVID-19 on psychological distress [18]. However, through the data reviewed in the present study, depression, anxiety and stress scores are in relation to different sociodemographic and health characteristics. Females are more vulnerable than males when a stressful situation occurs, such as the rapid pandemic of COVID-19, but there are no significant differences regarding depression and anxiety [13]. The mean scores for depression, anxiety and stress are mostly within the normal to mild range when compared with the scores before pandemic [15], which indicates that even though the sudden pandemic caused increased panic, the overall mental health disorder is still at a low level [18]. The prevalence of light to moderate depression, anxiety and stress level is much more significant than the moderate to severe level. It is possible that the distress level may be a result of media post and government policy [21]. In addition, mental health indicators regarding gender, age, education, and region should also be taken into consideration [22-23].

The decrease in physical activity has been reported during COVID-19, and it is suggested that people should maintain home-based exercise to keep healthy [24]. Without doubt, the non-essential business closure policy from government reduced the accessibility to gyms or physical activity clubs. In the general population, it is highly believed that the COVID-19 pandemic could increase physical inactivity. In fact, the total physical activity has considerably decreased [25]. However, despite the total physical activity, sedentary, moderate or moderate-to-vigorous activity need to be analyzed separately in order to provide specific results. As reported in a national survey conducted in Brazil, one-third of the participants spent more than 10 h per day sitting, but still, nearly half of the participants spent more than 30 min per day on moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA) [26]. Therefore, research focus needs to specify which group of people are under worse physical inactivity rather than simply describe the whole population when discussing physical inactivity during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Several limitations of this study need to be mentioned. Given the policies and socio-cultural chiropractic are different across regions, mental and physical reaction to COVID-19 may differ in different populations. The COVID-19 is still spreading and there is no evidence suggesting how the trend will go, people’s responses may change overtime. Therefore, the results from different time points of COVID-19 pandemic should be justified accordingly.

CONCLUSIONS

The mental and physical health implications among the general population have drawn dominant concern from public health experts and researchers during the COVID-19 pandemic. Literature has largely described the positive effect exerted by physical activity to ameliorate psychological and general health. Thus, detailed and practical recommendations for mental and physical health are needed. According to the results in this study, it can be concluded that the COVID-19 pandemic can affect mental and physical health at the population level, but it still calls for a clarified understanding. Therefore, in the cur-
rent epidemic, it is important to identify individual community groups and compare the regional differences, so that with appropriate interventions and attentions, the mental and physical health among general population is improved.
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