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abstract

Background: The aim of this work was to examine the extent to which cardiorespiratory fitness, blood pressure, and optimism/pessimism predict adults’ satisfaction with life.

Material and methods: Sixty-eight adults seeking cardiovascular health screening in a private clinic were tested. Participants’ blood pressure, optimism/pessimism, and satisfaction with life were recorded. The YMCA cycle ergometer test was used for estimating participants’ aerobic fitness (VO2 max). Based on 140/90 mm Hg blood pressure cut-off value, participants were classified into two groups.

Results: A bootstrapped hierarchical multivariate regression analysis revealed that VO2 max, systolic blood pressure, optimism, and pessimism jointly accounted for 46% of the variance (R² = 0.501, R² adjusted = 0.462) in life satisfaction. Furthermore, a bootstrapped multivariate analysis of covariance indicated that Stage 2 hypertensives reported lower life satisfaction (p = 0.007) than the others with lower blood pressure readings.

Conclusions: This study is the first to reveal that almost half of the total variance in life satisfaction is accounted by cardiorespiratory fitness, systolic blood pressure, optimism, and pessimism. The present work also shows that individuals in Stage 2 hypertension are less satisfied with their lives than individuals with lower blood pressure values. These preliminary findings should stimulate both epidemiological and longitudinal research in the area.
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INTRODUCTION

Research has shown that life satisfaction predicts self-perceived health, physical health, long-term health conditions [1] and even mortality [2]. Therefore, it may not be surprising that aerobic fitness, which is closely related to cardiovascular health and blood pressure status [3], may also be linked to life satisfaction [4]. Life satisfaction was defined as the subjective (cognitive) aspect of well-being and refers to individuals’ overall appraisal of the quality of their lives [5]. Higher life satisfaction was associated with optimism [6]. Optimism and pessimism appear to be closely connected to life satisfaction in opposite directions [7]. Accordingly, life satisfaction could be associated with cardiovascular measures such as aerobic fitness and blood pressure, as well as optimism and pessimism. The primary objective of this preliminary investigation was to determine the extent to which these factors predict life satisfaction.

OPTIMISM AND CARDIOVASCULAR HEALTH

Positive psychological orientation appears to be connected to cardiovascular health. Among several factors, positive mental orientation is reflected via optimism, which is a general tendency to expect positive future events and can be conceptualized as dispositional to anticipate good things to happen, or as attributional via causal association with past positive experiences [8]. Research suggests that optimism may be related to a lower risk of coronary heart disease [9, 10]. One earlier study found a positive relationship between optimism and the rate of recovery from bypass surgery [11]. Another study showed that optimism predicted health outcomes after acute coronary syndrome [12]. Research also suggests that optimism could be associated with a lower risk of cardiovascular mortality [13–15]. Finally, a literature review concludes that optimism is consistently associated with cardiovascular health [16]. Therefore, an association between optimism and cardiovascular health, in general, seems to be supported by the extant literature.

PESSIMISM AND CARDIOVASCULAR HEALTH INDICES

In contrast to the results of the studies linking higher optimism to better cardiovascular health, a study of young Finnish adults revealed that low pessimism was more closely related to cardiovascular health than high optimism [17]. Similarly, another study showed that lower pessimism, rather than higher optimism, was associated with a lower risk of stroke [18]. Earlier, Mahler and Kulik [19] reported that both optimism and pessimism could predict recovery from bypass surgery, but lower pessimism was a stronger predictor of recovery than higher optimism. Hence, pessimism reflects a general tendency of expecting future negative events [20] and, apparently, has a significant influence on the outcome of those events. It is an inverse dimension of the positive mental attitudes, which strongly suggests that a two-dimensional approach to studying optimism and pessimism in the context of health outcomes seems to be justified, which was also confirmed in earlier studies [21].

OPTIMISM AND PESSIMISM AND LIFE SATISFACTION

As reported above, optimism is related to greater satisfaction with life in young adults [22]. Later, Chang and Sanna [23] found a positive relationship between optimism and satisfaction with life, whereas pessimism was negatively related to the latter in middle-aged adults. In another investigation examining psychology...
students, a positive association was disclosed between optimism and satisfaction with life [24]. Examining a comparable sample, Bailey et al. [21] revealed that pessimism was an inverse predictor of satisfaction with life, but in their second inquiry, testing middle-aged adults, optimism was more strongly related to life-satisfaction than pessimism. These preliminary findings were supported by a more recent work in which optimism was positively, whereas pessimism was negatively associated with the reported satisfaction with life in a sample of participants with a relatively wide age-range [7]. Therefore, based on the limited number of studies connecting both optimism and pessimism with life satisfaction, it appears that these mental attitudes play an important role in how one is satisfied with life in general.

**LIFE SATISFACTION AND CARDIOVASCULAR HEALTH INDICES**

Only a few inquiries have examined the relationship between satisfaction with life and cardiovascular health. One of them showed that satisfaction with life was associated with a reduced risk of coronary heart disease [25], and another revealed an association between life satisfaction and a risk of cardiovascular events [26]. However, basic routinely screened indices of cardiovascular health, such as blood pressure or cardiorespiratory fitness, have seldom attracted researchers’ attention. In an exhaustive search of literature, we could only find one study in which a relationship between satisfaction with life and blood pressure was investigated [27]. This study showed that being male, low satisfaction with life and increased age were statistically significant predictors of high blood pressure. However, Romero Martinez and her colleagues examined adolescents and, therefore, the relevance of their findings might not be generalized to adults. Nevertheless, considering the limited evidence linking cardiovascular health with satisfaction with life, the findings reported by these researchers merit further investigation in adults. Currently, indirect evidence showing an inverse association between blood pressure with marital life satisfaction in adults [28] also supports the idea that satisfaction with life, in general, may be connected to a healthier blood pressure profile. Overall, while only one study examined the link between blood pressure and life satisfaction, the connection between cardiovascular health (of which blood pressure and aerobic fitness are two indices) and psychological states, including life-satisfaction, is relatively well-established [29, 30].

**AEROBIC (CARDIORESPIRATORY) FITNESS AND LIFE SATISFACTION**

A recent study reported that perceived (subjective) cardiorespiratory, or aerobic, fitness was positively associated with satisfaction with life in adolescents [31]. The cross-sectional findings are corroborated by two earlier studies in which the objectively estimated level of aerobic fitness was positively related to life satisfaction in young children and adolescents [4, 32]. These studies examined children and adolescents, while studies with adults appear to be lacking. One exception is a relatively recent work examining a small number (n = 28) of university students that adopted the step test for estimating the aerobic fitness of the participants, which turned out to be unrelated to their life satisfaction [33]. To the best of our knowledge, the connection between cardiorespiratory fitness and satisfaction with life was not examined to date in older, middle aged adults. Examining this population, however, seems to be warranted because cardiovascular fitness decreases with age [34].
**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**PARTICIPANTS**

Adults presenting themselves for a cardiovascular health screening at a large private medical centre in the capital area were invited to take part in the study. Participation was voluntary and bound to signing an informed consent form. The research was conducted in accord with the Helsinki Declaration [36] and with the permission of the Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Education and Psychology of ELTE Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest. The required sample size was determined a priori by using the G* Power version 3.1.9.2 software [37]. The expected squared multiple correlation coefficient was estimated to be at least 0.25 based on past reports (after data collection, it turned out to be 0.50 in the current study), and therefore this value was used to calculate the effect size ($f^2$), which resulted in an $f^2 = 0.33$. Using this value, four predictors, error $\alpha = 0.05$, and power $1- \beta = 0.95$, the total required sample size was 61. The final sample size was 68, which exceeded the minimum required sample size. Participants’ characteristics are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Participants’ description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of participants (n)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (M ± SD)</td>
<td>41.69 ± 11.42</td>
<td>39.77 ± 11.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)*</td>
<td>180.90 ± 8.00</td>
<td>168.56 ± 6.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)*</td>
<td>87.03 ± 11.56</td>
<td>67.74 ± 15.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married or in relationship (n)</td>
<td>28/29 (97%)</td>
<td>31/39 (79%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Works (n)</td>
<td>28/29 (97%)</td>
<td>39/39 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University graduate (n)</td>
<td>18/29 (62%)</td>
<td>31/39 (79%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokes or used to smoke (n)</td>
<td>12/29 (41%)</td>
<td>20/39 (51%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting heart rate (bpm, M ± SD)</td>
<td>70.52 ± 12.12</td>
<td>70.41 ± 15.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO2 max (ml/kg/min, M ± SD)</td>
<td>35.65 ± 10.78</td>
<td>34.47 ± 11.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (M ± SD)*</td>
<td>152.03 ± 15.27</td>
<td>141.21 ± 19.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (M ± SD)</td>
<td>90.28 ± 7.29</td>
<td>88.59 ± 11.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean arterial pressure (M ± SD)</td>
<td>110.86 ± 8.74</td>
<td>106.13 ± 13.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimism (M ± SD)</td>
<td>11.55 ± 2.29</td>
<td>11.56 ± 2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pessimism (M ± SD)*</td>
<td>7.00 ± 2.54</td>
<td>5.59 ± 2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction with life (M ± SD)</td>
<td>25.21 ± 4.41</td>
<td>26.21 ± 6.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**METHODS**

**Cardiorespiratory fitness.** Aerobic fitness (VO$_2$ max) was estimated with the YMCA submaximal cycle ergometer test [38] using an ‘Ergoline, ergoselect 100’ ergometer, manufactured by ergoline GmbH, Bitz, Germany. Heart rate data were obtained with a Marquette (227 498 02-A V4.1) CardioSys ECG System manufactured by Marquette Hellige GmbH, Freiburg, Germany.

**Blood pressure.** Systolic and diastolic blood pressure were measured at rest with a ‘Microlife BP A100 Plus’ automatic blood pressure monitor manufactured by Microlife AG Swiss Corporation. This device uses the same blood pressure measuring technology as the ‘BP 3BTO-A’ model tested in accord with the British Hypertension Society (BHS) protocol [39]. Accordingly, Microlife obtained grade ‘A’ for both systolic and diastolic pressure according to the BHS protocol.
The mean differences (± SD) between the observers and the tested unit were −1.6 (7.7) mmHg and −2.1 (6.3) mmHg for systolic and diastolic blood pressure, respectively. Hence, this unit also fulfils the criterion set by the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation [39].

**Satisfaction with Life.** The Satisfaction with Life Scale (SWLS) [40] was adopted to measure general life satisfaction. The original SWLS has 5 items, which are rated on a 7-point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree. A sample item of the SWLS is: ‘In most ways my life is close to my ideal’. The internal reliability of the scale was good (Cronbach α = 0.79–0.89); its test-retest reliability, examined in several periods from one month to four years, ranged between 0.50 and 0.84 [41, 42]. In this research, we used the psychometrically validated Hungarian version of the SWLS [43] with a reported internal reliability of 0.84. The internal reliability of the instrument in the current work was identical to that of the validated version (i.e., α = 0.84).

**Optimism and pessimism.** The Hungarian version [44] (2010) of the revised Life Orientation Test (LOT-R) [45] was adopted to measure optimism and pessimism. The scale consists of 10 items. Three items assess optimism, another three items measure pessimism and there are four filler items. A sample item for optimism is: ‘In uncertain times, I usually expect the best’ and a sample item for pessimism is: ‘If something can go wrong for me, it will’. Respondents are requested to indicate the extent to which they agree or disagree with the items on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from strongly agree to strongly disagree. It should be noted that he LOT-R was originally developed to assess optimism as a unidimensional construct, with optimism and pessimism being the bipolar opposites [20]. However, later it was demonstrated that optimism and pessimism of the LOT-R reflect two independent constructs [46]. The psychometric properties of the two-dimensional LOT-R are robust [46]. Its internal reliability, based on a large sample (n = 46,133), was 0.71 for optimism and 0.68 for pessimism [46]. The internal reliability of the Hungarian version was reported to range between Cronbach’s alpha 0.77 and 0.81 [44]. In the current sample, the internal reliability of the scale was 0.74 for optimism and 0.61 for pessimism.

**PROCEDURE**

After giving written consent for taking part in the current study, participants answered the demographic questions and completed the SWLS and the LOT-R in a quiet waiting room. Subsequently, their resting heart rate and blood pressure were recorded according to usual standard method [47]. Next, participants were invited to perform the YMCA submaximal cycle ergometer test [38]. Before the test, they were screened for taking any medications, injuries or musculoskeletal limitations, actual health status, and the time of the last meal. The purpose and the method of testing was explained. After measuring height and weight, participants were told that the test will last until their heart rate slightly exceeds 75% of the age predicted maximum heart rate, that the test would be stopped if they feel faint, dizzy, or short of breath, and they were assured that they are free to stop test at any time for any reason. Participants started the test by riding the ergometer at 50 revolutions per minute (rpm) which was increased at two-minute intervals until the target heart rate was reached, or the test had to be terminated for muscular fatigue. Heart rate and workload (watts) were recorded at each stage, before any increase in workload. The YMCA test was reported to yield a reliable estimate of the VO max when compared to the treadmill tests using direct measurements [38].
In the current test, participants’ VO$_2$ max was calculated from the last and preceding the last completed stage.

**RESULTS**

Initial correlation analyses indicated that satisfaction with life correlated positively with the estimated VO$_2$ max ($r = 0.25$, $r^2 = 0.063$, $p = 0.04$) and optimism ($r = 0.56$, $r^2 = 0.313$, $p < 0.001$), while being reversely related to the systolic blood pressure ($r = -0.25$, $r^2 = 0.063$, $p = 0.04$) and pessimism ($r = -0.52$, $r^2 = 0.270$, $p < 0.001$). Statistically no significant correlation was observed between the diastolic blood pressure and satisfaction with life. Based on these relationships, a bootstrapped hierarchical linear multiple regression, using the enter method, was calculated to determine the extent to which the estimated VO$_2$ max, systolic blood pressure, optimism, and pessimism could predict satisfaction with life. Considering gender differences in pessimism and systolic blood pressure (Table 1), gender was also included in the analysis.

This test resulted in a statistically significant regression equation ($F[5, 60] = 12.18$, $p < 0.001$, $R = 0.710$, $R^2 = 0.504$, $R^2$ adjusted = 0.462). The test of the standardized residuals confirmed that the data contained no outliers (Std. Residual Min = -2.37, and Std. Residual Max = 2.57). Further tests were performed to determine if the data met the assumption of collinearity. Their results confirmed that multicollinearity was not a concern in the data (gender: tolerance = 0.92, Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) = 1.22; VO$_2$ max: tolerance = 0.91, VIF = 1.10; systolic blood pressure: tolerance = 0.83, VIF = 1.21; optimism: tolerance = 0.78, VIF = 1.28; and pessimism: tolerance = 0.72, VIF = 1.40). The data also met the assumption of independent errors (Durbin-Watson = 1.873) and the assumption of non-zero variances ($s^2 > 0.00$ for all predictors). Apart from gender, all predictors, contributed statistically significantly to the model (Table 2).

### Table 2. Regression model coefficients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized coefficients</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>Significance ($p$)</th>
<th>95% Confidence interval for $\beta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower bound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>28.047</td>
<td>7.643</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>= 0.001</td>
<td>12.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>-0.718</td>
<td>1.149</td>
<td>-0.063</td>
<td>-0.625</td>
<td>0.534</td>
<td>-3.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO$_2$ max</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>2.137</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>-0.064</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>-0.207</td>
<td>-2.074</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>-0.126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimism</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td>3.785</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pessimism</td>
<td>-0.828</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>-0.036</td>
<td>-3.417</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-1.312</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: SBP = Systolic Blood Pressure

Relying on the current criteria [48] for Stage 2 hypertension, based on values of $\geq 90$ mmHg for diastolic and $\geq 140$ mmHg for systolic blood pressure, more than two-thirds of the current sample ($n = 46$) fall into this category. Employing a bootstrapped (1000 samples, 95% confidence interval) multivariate analyses of covariance (MANCOVA) including gender and age as covariates, we tested whether people with Stage 2 hypertension differed in VO$_2$ max, optimism, pessimism, and satisfaction with life from those exhibiting lower blood pressures ($< 140/90$ mmHg). The MANCOVA yielded a statistically significant multivariate effect for blood pressure groups (Wilks’ $\lambda = 0.852$, $F(4, 59) = 2.56$, $p = 0.048$). The covariates were statistically not significant. The univariate tests revealed
that only satisfaction with life differed statistically significantly ($F(1, 62) = 7.59, p = 0.008$, effect size (corrected for sample size) Hedges’ $g = 0.752$) between those exhibiting Stage 2 hypertension versus those with lower blood pressure readings (Table 3).

We used the cut off values of 140/90 mmHg; its lower values are suggested to reflect normal, elevated or even Stage 1 hypertension according to the most recent classification suggested by the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force [48]. Therefore, we performed another test from which we have excluded those with Stage 1 hypertension (i.e. systolic blood pressure between 130–139 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure between 80–89 mmHg). This test confirmed our original findings that people exhibiting Stage 2 hypertension report lower ($M = 24.64 \pm 4.06$) satisfaction with life than those exhibiting either normal (i.e., $< 120$ mmHg and $< 80$ mmHg), or elevated (i.e., 120–129 mmHg and $< 80$ mmHg) blood pressures ($M = 28.60 \pm 5.77$). The mean differences were statistically significant ($F(1,54) = 4.20, p = 0.045$, effect size corrected for sample size (Hedges’ $g$) = 0.71).

Table 3. Means and standard deviations (in parenthesis) of four dependent measures in normotensive and hypertensive groups, the $F$ and $p$ values of the univariate tests, and the corrected effect sizes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal, elevated and Stage 1 hypertensives ($&lt;140/90$ mmHg) n = 22</th>
<th>Stage 2 hypertensives ($\geq140/90$ mmHg) n = 46</th>
<th>$F$</th>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>Effect size Hedges’ $g$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VO$_2$ max (ml/kg/min)</td>
<td>37.65 (9.17)</td>
<td>33.65 (12.12)</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>.148</td>
<td>0.350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimism</td>
<td>12.10 (2.83)</td>
<td>11.33 (2.50)</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>.267</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pessimism</td>
<td>5.76 (2.30)</td>
<td>6.38 (2.63)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>.935</td>
<td>0.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction with life</td>
<td>28.62 (4.54)</td>
<td>24.51 (5.75)</td>
<td>7.59</td>
<td>.008*</td>
<td>0.752</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Statistically significant difference between the two means.

**DISCUSSION**

**PREDICTING POWER OF FOUR VARIABLES ON LIFE SATISFACTION**

This research suggests that almost half (46%) of the total variance in life satisfaction is predicted by two indices of cardiovascular health, estimated aerobic fitness and systolic blood pressure, along with the psychological constructs of optimism and pessimism. These findings support the connection between positive emotions and cardiovascular indices and agree with the earlier reports in the literature disclosing a relationship between optimism and pessimism and life satisfaction [7, 21–24]. The direction of the relationship matches that reported by Szczesniak and Soares [7] in that optimism was directly, while pessimism was reversely associated with the reported satisfaction with life.

**NEW SUPPORT FOR THE CONNECTION BETWEEN BLOOD PRESSURE AND LIFE SATISFACTION**

The current results expand earlier findings concerning the relationship between blood pressure and satisfaction with life, because the only previously found study was conducted with children and adolescents [27], in contrast to the current research with middle-aged adults. In accord with Romero Martinez et al. [27],
we also found an inverse relationship between blood pressure and satisfaction with life. However, expanding the results of the earlier study, which did not report separately systolic and diastolic blood pressure, the present findings suggest that while systolic blood pressure could be inversely associated with life satisfaction, the diastolic blood pressure appears to be uncorrelated with the latter.

The positive relationship between cardiorespiratory fitness and satisfaction with life, which was earlier demonstrated in studies children and/or adolescents [4, 31, 32], was also demonstrated in the current work with middle aged adults. However, the present results are in contrast with a work conducted with university students in which estimated aerobic fitness was unrelated to the reported level of life satisfaction [33]. The incongruous findings may be attributed either to a low sample size used in that study, or to the very different nature of the sample studied. However, the latter explanation may be speculative, since the results of the present inquiry match those obtained with children and adolescents in three earlier studies.

Based on the beta (β) values obtained in the regression (Table 2), the predictive power of the cardiovascular measures (VO₂ max and systolic blood pressure) was notably weaker than that of the psychological indices. Nevertheless, they contributed statistically significantly to the regression model and their predictive power might be higher in a larger sample, which could be the subject of future research. Until then, these preliminary findings offer support for the hypothesis of the study that satisfaction with life is associated with both mental attitudes and indices of cardiovascular health.

**LIFE SATISFACTION AND BLOOD PRESSURE STATUS**

Despite the modest contribution of the physiological measures, people classified into Stage 2 hypertension exhibited lower satisfaction with life, while they did not differ on other three dependent measures from those with lower blood pressure readings. The classification using the 140/90 mmHg cut-off value was made based on past classifications and still used in research practices [49], which consider lower values (i.e. Stage 1 hypertension, elevated blood pressure and normal blood pressure) to be in the normotensive range. This classification was necessary, because of the low number of individuals having blood pressure under the cut-off value (n = 22). Nevertheless, eliminating 12 individuals in Stage 1 hypertension yielded the same results. Notable is the finding that the effect sizes corrected for the sample size were relatively large. Therefore, the new finding that Stage 2 hypertension may be linked to lower life satisfaction appears to be robust. However, no directionality could be established from the current data. The findings call for systematic investigation of directionality, since it is more likely that an unsatisfying life leads to elevated blood pressure than vice versa, but a vicious circle-mechanism may not be excluded.

The current findings are in accord with the results of a study implementing a 4-week rehabilitative exercise intervention with cardiac patients who showed greater aerobic capacity as well as lower blood pressure after the rehabilitation program than a control group [50]. Moreover, the exercise rehabilitation resulted in greater subjectively, as well as objectively, assessed quality of life in the participants who underwent the 4-week rehabilitative program versus those who did not. From these results, one may speculate that the direction of the relationship between cardiac health, as well as blood pressure status, and the quality of life (which could be expected to surface in one’s satisfaction
with life) could be health driven. Accordingly, a positive change in health status may be associated with greater satisfaction with life via a better life quality. While the results reported by Gierat-Haponiuk et al. [50] are supportive of this argument, we cannot rule out the conjecture that an opposite argument is also true. For example, a person constantly dissatisfied with life may develop psychosomatic symptoms, such as high blood pressure, in the long term. Therefore, research attention to both directions of the possible relationship between blood pressure status and satisfaction with life seems to be warranted.

LIMITATIONS

The current study is not without limitations. One concern revolves around the convenience sample represented by adults who could afford private health screening and cared for their health by voluntarily showing up and paying a fee for a cardiovascular health screening test. Another limitation is the on-site reading and averaging of blood pressure, which although is routinely done in research practice, is not as accurate as in prolonged ambulatory monitoring. Further, the estimation of the aerobic fitness is not as accurate as its direct measurement, even though the YMCA test has high concordance with the direct assessment of cardiorespiratory fitness [38]. These limitations should be taken into consideration when interpreting the findings, which should be appraised as preliminary, but sufficiently robust to stimulate more systematic work in this area. Future studies should also measure perceived stress and examine a more representative sample in testing the association between cardiovascular measures and positive emotions in their relevance to the response-shift and quality of life model [35].

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the new findings emerging from this study are summarized as follows:

1. The association between aerobic fitness and life satisfaction, earlier noted only in children, also exists in adults.
2. The relationship between blood pressure and life satisfaction, earlier reported only in children, can also be observed in adults.
3. The systolic blood pressure has a stronger link to life satisfaction than the diastolic blood pressure.
4. There is strong evidence that people with Stage 2 hypertension experience less satisfaction with life than those with lower readings.
5. Optimism and pessimism are stronger predictors of the satisfaction with life than aerobic fitness and blood pressure.
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