Preventing Osteoporosis among Underweight and Obese Sedentary Young Women

Nasim Habibzadeh
Department of Sport Sciences, University of Guilan, Rasht, Iran, nasim_habibzadeh@yahoo.com

Follow this and additional works at: https://dcgdansk.bepress.com/journal
Part of the Health and Physical Education Commons, Sports Medicine Commons, Sports Sciences Commons, and the Sports Studies Commons

Recommended Citation

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Baltic Journal of Health and Physical Activity. It has been accepted for inclusion in Baltic Journal of Health and Physical Activity by an authorized editor of Baltic Journal of Health and Physical Activity.
Preventing Osteoporosis among Underweight and Obese Sedentary Young Women

DOI: 10.2478/v10131-0009-6

Nasim Habibzadeh
Department of Sport Sciences, University of Guilan, Rasht, Iran

Key words: bone mass density, bone loss, walking exercise, obese, thin.

Abstract

Background: The purpose of the present study was to examine the effect of a walking program on bone mass density (BMD) among healthy obese and thin young girls.

Material/Methods: Twenty untrained obese (n=10) and thin (n=10) girls, 20–25 years, volunteered to participate in this study. Before and after the training program both groups had an anthropometric measurement, a blood analysis and a BMD evaluation. Each walking session consisted of 30 minutes walking between 50-75% of maximal heart rate, 3 days per week for 2 months.

Results: After the exercise program, BMD in both regions (hip (1.1%) and spine (L2–L4) (2.3%)) stabilized in both groups (p<0.05). Percent body fat, fat mass and lean mass were affected positively by exercise program (all p = 0.000). No significant change was observed in Serum estrogen calcium, phosphorus in either group (p>0.05).

Conclusions: This study showed that activities such as walking provide significant loading, which positively influences BMD in young thin and obese girls. This result suggests that both thin and obese women can reduce the risk of bone loss by increasing their level of activity.
Introduction

Osteoporosis is a major public health problem that is characterized by low bone mass and increased susceptibility to fracture, primarily in the hip, spine, and wrist [1]. Although symptoms of osteoporosis do not generally occur until after menopause, recent evidence suggests that bone loss starts much earlier in life and it may be associated with an increasingly sedentary lifestyle [2]. That is why the World Health Organization believes that we are heading for a major epidemic the years to come. In spite of development in diagnosis of osteoporosis, still the preventive measure of osteoporosis is neglected and the already staggering medical, social, and economic costs can be expected to increase unless effective prophylactic and therapeutic regimens are developed [3].

Body weight influences bone density and, therefore, is an important risk factor for osteoporosis [4]. It is well recognized that thin individuals have lower bone mass density than heavier individuals, and there may be multiple reasons for this. In fact, thinness is an important risk factor for bone loss and a reduction in bone mass is highly correlated with an increased risk of osteoporosis [5]. Obesity has been identified as a risk factor in many illnesses. The consequence of excessive weight can have a profound negative effect on bones and joints. An increased body mass index (BMI) has been associated with many orthopedic conditions, such as arthritis, osteoporosis, and joint immobility [6].

To prevent osteoporosis various factors such as physical activity, adequate ingestion of calcium and vitamin D are acknowledged to be important [7]. Because mechanical loading contributes to subsequent bone mass, weight-bearing exercise is suggested as a therapy to increase BMD and as a strategy to prevent osteoporosis [8]. Numerous studies demonstrate the importance of weight-bearing physical activity as well as mechanical loading to maintain skeletal integrity in both younger and elderly women. Lack of weight-bearing activity is dangerous for the skeleton, and a decrease in bone mass has been demonstrated in humans under condition of weightlessness or immobilization [9]. Walking is a weight-bearing form of aerobic exercise that can be easily integrated into one’s daily life and it is frequently recommended as a way to help protect against bone loss [10]. While various forms of weight-bearing activity may slow down the loss of BMD or possibly even increase BMD through mechanical loading of bone; walking as an exercise intervention is of particular interest [11]. Several cross-sectional and descriptive studies indicate a positive relationship between walking and BMD at various skeletal sites, whereas other studies have shown that walking was insufficient to improve BMD [12].

It is known that the skeletal response to loading is characteristic of different age [13]. However, to our knowledge, no study has shown simultaneously the effect of exercise program on BMD in obese and thin girls with a mean age 20 years. Clearly, further studies are required to determine whether exercise programs which are acceptable for older people are effective in preventing osteoporosis in this population. Therefore, the purpose of the present study was to examine the effect of walking program on hip and lumbar (L2–L4) BMD in order to prevent or restore bone loss among healthy sedentary obese and thin girls.

Material and methods

Twenty young physically untrained girls volunteered to participate in this study. Then participants were pair wise-matched and assigned to either exercise, obese and thin groups. Descriptive statistics of the subjects’ anthropometric and physiological characteristics are presented in Table 1. Written informed consent for all procedures was obtained from all participants prior to entering the study. The criteria for the invitation were being willing to participate, clinically healthy (no cardiovascular, musculoskeletal, respiratory, or other chronic diseases that might limit training or testing), no menstrual irregularities, not using medication that alert bone mass density and no beta-blockers, sedentary life style (no regular sports activities for at least 2 years), nondieting, nonsmoking, and no apparent occupational or leisure time responsibilities that impede their participation.
Tab. 1. Physical characteristics of study subjects (X ±SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obese (n=10)</th>
<th>Thin (n=10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>22.22 ± 1.9</td>
<td>21.10 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>5.1 ± 157.78</td>
<td>159.90 ± 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>74.98 ± 8.1</td>
<td>45.88 ± 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>30.20 ± 1.8</td>
<td>17.73 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Anthropometric and body parameters were assessed on an empty stomach. The height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a wall-mounted stadiometer (Holtain, Dyfed, UK). Body mass was measured to the nearest 0.01 kg on an electronic weighing scale (Mettler Toledo IDL Plus, Eichfahig, Germany). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg)/height (m)². In addition, all subjects were weighed every week so that none of them gained or lost > 2.2 kg body weight over the entire study period.

The main endpoints of the study were the change in bone mass density of the hip and the lumbar spine (L₂–L₄). BMD (g/cm²) was also measured with the dual X-ray absorptiometry scans (DXA) (Lunar DPX-L, software version 1.31, USA). All the scanning and analyses were done by the same operator. The vivo day-to-day (coefficient of variation) of the BMD measurement in our laboratory ranged from 0.7 to 1.7%. The scanner was calibrated daily, and its performance was followed with our quality assurance protocol. There was no significant machine drift during the study period.

Blood samples were collected on an empty stomach (>12 h) in a sitting position and centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 30 minutes at 40°C within 2 h. Serum samples from each participant were stored frozen at -20°C until analyzed. The serum estrogen level was assessed by radioimmunoassay (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA) at the follicular stage in each subject's menstrual cycle, and serum calcium and phosphorus levels were measured by standard automated laboratory techniques.

Caloric expenditure was calculated based on the weight of the subject. To minimize any affect that dietary composition might have on the measured metabolic variables, at the beginning of the study all subjects were instructed on the American Health Association (AHA) diet by a registered dietitian. The composition of this diet was 50–55% carbohydrate, 15–20% protein, <30% fat. The subjects were asked to maintain this diet composition throughout the study's duration (2mo). Compliance was monitored by review of 7-day food records taken every week [14].

The exercise program included a warming-up phase for 5 minutes of stretching exercises, 30 minutes walking at 50–75% of the maximum heart rate and a cooling-down phase for 5 minutes of stretching, three times a week for 2 months. Stretching exercises were performed for the arms, leg, back and stomach. A target heart rate range between 50–75% of the age adjusted maximum heart rate intensity was calculated by each walker from her age and walking supine resting heart rate in every exercise session [15]. Heart rate was measured with an electronic heart rate meter (Sport Tester PE, Polar Electro, Oy, Finland). The exercise program was accompanied by music. All sessions were supervised by a professional exercise physiologist leader.

Mean and standard deviation (SD) were used as descriptive statistic. Student's t-test was used for normally distributed variables. Unpaired t-test was used to assess the change in BMI, body weight, serum calcium, phosphorus, and estrogen before and after the exercise intervention. The effect of the program was studied through a mixed, two-factor analysis of variance (2×2) incorporating the group, time and group – by-time interaction effect. A significance level set at p<0.05 was used for all comparisons.
Results

All twenty subjects (100%) completed the training program. No major change in the menstrual status was observed during the study. All subjects showed normal ranges of serum calcium, phosphorus, or estrogen levels at the baseline, and the analysis of data showed that the post-test differences between the groups were not significant (p > 0.05). The percentage of body fat, fat mass and lean mass changes in response to training were significant in the two groups. The lean mass in both groups significantly increased but the present body fat, fat mass significantly decreased (p = 0.000).

The BMD values of the spine (L₂–L₄) and hip were both significantly different between the two groups and exercise significantly increased the BMD from baseline (p<0.05). The comparison of the changes in BMD in the hip (1.1%) and the bone mass density in the spine (L₂–L₄) (2.3%) showed a trend for higher effectiveness of the exercise. The different effects observed at the two studied sites could be attributed to one important factor. The lumbar vertebrae are 65% trabeculare bone, which is characterized by greater metabolic activity. The hip, on the other hand, is 75% cortical bone, which is more compact and less quickly reactive to treatment. However, both spinal and hip BMD were affected positively by the exercise program. Figures 1 and 2 show the change in hip and spinal (L₂–L₄) BMD over the study period and the significance of difference in the both thin and obese groups.

![Fig. 1. Change from baseline in the spine (L₂–L₄) BMD (g/cm²) during the study period (pre-, post-study) and the significance of the difference between the groups ANOVA (p=0.037)](image-url)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Thin pre-test</th>
<th>Thin post-test</th>
<th>Obese pre-test</th>
<th>Obese post-test</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hip BMD (g/cm²)</td>
<td>.843±.05</td>
<td>.863±.06</td>
<td>.967±.10</td>
<td>.983±.09</td>
<td>0.012*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spine (L₂–L₄) BMD (g/cm²)</td>
<td>1.05±.14</td>
<td>1.128±.21</td>
<td>1.113±.16</td>
<td>1.147±.15</td>
<td>0.037*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estrogen (pg/ml)</td>
<td>25.55±8.93</td>
<td>42.15±18.80</td>
<td>30.42±15.60</td>
<td>46.99±18.55</td>
<td>0.610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (ml/dl)</td>
<td>9.78±.42</td>
<td>9.25±.50</td>
<td>9.47±.24</td>
<td>9.42±.28</td>
<td>0.783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorous (ml/dl)</td>
<td>4.21±0.39</td>
<td>3.55±0.36</td>
<td>3.80±0.39</td>
<td>3.65±0.63</td>
<td>0.660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean mass (kg)</td>
<td>33.54±3.72</td>
<td>34.53±3.97</td>
<td>43.27±5.25</td>
<td>44.38±6.21</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass (kg)</td>
<td>9.86±1.85</td>
<td>9.21±2.14</td>
<td>29.11±4.54</td>
<td>27.17±6.30</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Body fat</td>
<td>21.82±3.13</td>
<td>20.13±3.60</td>
<td>38.80±3.97</td>
<td>36.35±6.84</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significantly different from the ‘Pre’ value:* p < 0.05; ***
Discussion

This is the first study to assess the effect of weight-bearing exercise on bone loss at different anatomical sites in different young girls who are considered to be at risk of osteoporosis. Two months of walking exercise showed efficacy in slowing or stopping bone loss. This difference may be due to the younger age of subjects in our study and the nature of the mechanical load. Furthermore, there was a relation between weight change and an increase in BMD in both thin and obese girls.

Exercise will be part of an effective strategy to reduce the incidence of osteoporosis only if the amount and type of physical activity needed to confer benefit is attainable for the majority of women. The most easily accessible form of weight-bearing exercise is walking and the number of hours of walking per day has been found correlate with lumbar and hip densities [16]. The minimum weight-bearing exercise recommended to prevent osteoporosis is half an hour three times a week [17]. However, not all exercise is good for the human body; therefore, the degree and the extent of any exercise should be adapted to the age, the physical ability and the skeletal condition of the individual. Comparisons among studies evaluating the effect of walking on bone density at various skeletal sites are limited by differences in methods to measure walking activity and differences in menses and nutritional status of the study population. For example, Cavnaugh and Cann have reported that aerobic exercise such as walking program did not prevent bone loss [18]. Hotori et al. also reported that walking for 30 minutes above the anaerobic threshold (AT) was effective in increasing BMD, whereas exercise below the AT was not [9]. Martin and Notelovitz similarly observed that walking speeds of less than 6.4 km did not increase BMD [20]. Other studies have shown that physical exercise positively affected BMD in both young and elderly women. Among postmenopausal women, Nelson showed the beneficial effects on BMD of walking at 75–80% of the maximum heart rate for 50 minutes, 3–4 times per week, wearing a leaded belt [21]. Yamazaki et al. (2004) also demonstrated that the positive effect of 1 year of moderate walking exercise on the BMD was caused by a decrease in bone turnover in postmenopausal women [22]. These findings confirm that exercise decreased bone turnover, which was elevated by estrogen deficiency, and resulted in the positive effect on the skeleton. A recent study by Mulhim et al. has shown that 30 minutes of walking at 1.5 km/hour increased lumbar spine and femoral BMD in sedentary Saudi women, aged 25–50 [23]. They suggested that the bone maintenance effect of exercise during the premenopausal and postmenopausal period may be an essential factor, making a favorable difference as compared with sedentary women. Brooke-Wavell et al. also reported that 20 min walking a day increased BMD [24]. In our patients simple 30 minutes of

Fig. 2. Change from baseline in the hip BMD (g/cm²) during the study period (pre-, post-study) and the significance of the difference between the groups ANOVA (p=0.012)
walking exercise at the pace of 50–75% maximum heart rate was enough to positively change the BMD, to decrease the fat mass and increase the lean body weight, making them healthier. Mechanical factors that affect bone remodeling include muscular contraction and gravity. Lanyon found that bone responds in proportion to the amount of stress placed on it. Abramson and Dwlagi showed that weight bearing and muscle contractions generate stress on bone necessary to prevent bone loss [25]. Although overall fat mass does improve bone density, so does overall lean mass. "Lean mass" means muscle. "Lean mass," the researchers conclude, "is the major determinant of bone size, providing further evidence that bone size is adapted to the dynamic load imposed by muscle force rather than passive loading" by fat [26]. The result of the blood parameters showed that neither estrogen nor calcium and phosphorous levels were significantly altered as a result of a two-month training regimen, suggesting that estrogen, calcium and phosphorous did not mediate the observed skeletal changes in both groups.

Conclusion

Our study showed that activities such as walking provide significant loading, which positively influences BMD in sedentary young girls. This result suggests that both thin and obese women can reduce the risk of bone loss by increasing their level of activity. If done on a regular basis, this type of training can be an efficient, safe and inexpensive way of preventing osteoporosis and osteoporosis related fractures later in life.
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