Baltic Journal of Health and Physical Activity

Volume 10 | Issue 4 Article 1

2018

Paediatric physical activity and health: Moving towards a measure of quality

Cain C.T. Clark
Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Coventry University, United Kingdom Engineering Behaviour Analytics in Sports and Exercise (E-BASE) Research Group, Swansea University, Wales, United Kingdom, cain.clark@coventry.ac.uk

Claire M. Barnes
Engineering Behaviour Analytics in Sports and Exercise (E-BASE) Research Group, Swansea University, Wales, United Kingdom College of Engineering, Swansea University, Wales, United Kingdom

Recommended Citation

Clark CTC, Barnes CM. Paediatric physical activity and health: Moving towards a measure of quality. Balt J Health Phys Act. 2018;10(4):7-24. doi: 10.29359/BJHPA.10.4.01

Baltic Journal of Health and Physical Activity 2018; 10(4): 7-24
Journal of Gdansk University of Physical Education and Sport
e-ISSN 2080-9999

REVIEW

doi: 10.29359/BJHPA.10.4.01

Paediatric physical activity and health: Moving towards a measure of quality

Authors' Contribution:
A Study Design
B Data Collection
C Statistical Analysis
D Data Interpretation
E Manuscript Preparation
F Literature Search
G Funds Collection

Cain C. T. Clark[1, 2 ABCDEF], **Claire M. Barnes**[2, 3 ABCDEF]

[1] Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Coventry University, United Kingdom

[2] Engineering Behaviour Analytics in Sports and Exercise (E-BASE) Research Group, Swansea University, Wales, United Kingdom

[3] College of Engineering, Swansea University, Wales, United Kingdom

abstract

It is clear that physical activity, holistically, is linked with several positive factors through the life course. There exists a large evidence base for physical activity quantity, yet there has been little integration of physical activity qualities, and whilst *quality* is a nebulous term, recent developments in literature suggest it may be a viable measure in the characterisation of physical activity. The purpose of the study was to comprehensively review the development towards a measure of physical activity quality.

A review of literature was conducted using online databases: Web of Science, PubMed and Google Scholar. A narrative review was subsequently prepared on the topic and development of physical activity quality.

Quantitative assessment of movement quality shows promise in the evaluation and measurement of physical activity, particularly in relation to motor development, fundamental movement skills and body mass indices.

Whilst measures of movement quality display promise, this is a burgeoning field of research contributing to physical activity literature, and as such, these measures must be refined, developed and investigated further.

Key words: physical activity, movement quality, health, children.

article details

Article statistics: **Word count:** 5,695; **Tables:** 0; **Figures:** 0; **References:** 175

Received: July 2018; **Accepted:** October 2018; **Published:** December 2018

Full-text PDF: http://www.balticsportscience.com

Indexation: Celdes, Clarivate Analytics Emerging Sources Citation Index (ESCI), CNKI Scholar (China National Knowledge Infrastructure), CNPIEC, De Gruyter - IBR (International Bibliography of Reviews of Scholarly Literature in the Humanities and Social Sciences), De Gruyter - IBZ (International Bibliography of Periodical Literature in the Humanities and Social Sciences), DOAJ, EBSCO - Central & Eastern European Academic Source, EBSCO - SPORTDiscus, EBSCO Discovery Service, Google Scholar, Index Copernicus, J-Gate, Naviga (Softweco, Primo Central (ExLibris), ProQuest - Family Health, ProQuest - Health & Medical Complete, ProQuest - Illustrata: Health Sciences, ProQuest - Nursing & Allied Health Source, Summon (Serials Solutions/ProQuest, TDOne (TDNet), Ulrich's Periodicals Directory/ulrichsweb, WorldCat (OCLC)

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of interests: Authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

Corresponding author: Dr Cain C. T. Clark; Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Coventry University, Coventry, CV1 5FB, United Kingdom; e-mail: cain.clark@coventry.ac.uk

INTRODUCTION

Physical inactivity is the largest contributor to risk factors for non-communicable diseases in the world [1–3]. Conversely, physical activity has been identified as an integral contributor to a healthy lifestyle [4] and can provide numerous health benefits [5], including a decreased risk of premature death by around 30% for those attaining the recommended levels of physical activity on most days of the week (see [1,2]). Whilst this data is not available for children, the systematic reviews of Saunders et al. [6], Chaput et al. [7], Carson et al. [8] and Poitras et al. [9] have quantified the relationship between physical activity, sedentary behaviour, sleep and health and concluded that these behaviours are co-dependent and all related to health risk [10]. A sedentary lifestyle, common during childhood, adolescence and continued into adulthood, is a major concern for the health of the general public [11, 2], and the substantial increase in the prevalence of overweight and obesity and other non-communicable diseases, such as diabetes, cancer, hypertension and cardiovascular diseases over the previous decades [12, 13], is partly attributed to lower levels of physical activity and an increase in sedentary behaviour [14]. There are numerous acute physiological and psychosocial benefits of physical activity among children and adolescents; physical activity behaviours between childhood and adulthood are correlated, and physically active children are more likely to grow up to be physically active adults compared with their inactive peers [15, 16]. It is, therefore, advocated that physical activity be promoted amongst children and adolescents for health enhancement and to embed lifelong behavioural patterns that will result in more active adult populations in the future [10, 15–17].

There is a dearth of research demonstrating objective methods to empirically derive movement quality measures, and as such, this review intends to explore the development towards novel measures of movement quality. Authors, such as Bellanca et al. [18] and Brach et al. [19], have demonstrated quality measurements in a specific population are useful and valid using raw accelerometry, enabling greater insight into specific portions of gait. Furthermore, in geriatric patients and those with Parkinsonian gait, respectively, frequency domain analyses can reliably highlight deteriorating gait characteristics [20, 21]. To date, almost all focus on physical activity has been on time spent above or below various thresholds, such as moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, and thus the focus has largely been on quantity. Research seeking to derive measures of quality has largely involved activity in the controlled setting of the laboratory, involving multiple sensors. There has been limited, at best, integration of physical activity quantities and qualities in real-life settings. Notwithstanding, quality is a nebulous term, and can have connotations relating to psychology, physiology, biochemistry, well-being, emotional state, biomechanics or even life. The purpose of this narrative review was to investigate the development of a quantitative measure of physical activity movement quality, with consideration for motor development, fundamental movement skills, energy expenditure and physical activity guidelines.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A narrative review of literature, defined as a discursive discussion of a relatively broad theme from a theoretical and contextual point of view, was conducted using online databases: Web of Science, PubMed and Google

Scholar, to locate studies published from the journal inception to July 2018. Key search terms included: physical activity, motor development, fundamental movement skills, energy expenditure and movement quality, with the addition of Boolean logic operators 'AND', and, 'OR'.

Multiple searches of each database were conducted with additional searches for relevant references and citations linked to studies obtained during the primary search. The search and selection process sought to locate only peer reviewed articles written in the English language, published in or before July 2018. Subsequently, topics for discussion were identified as: 1) physical activity guidelines, 2) childhood physical activity, 3) motor development, 4) physical activity, 5) fundamental movement and body mass index, 6) physical activity and energy expenditure, 7) physical activity and recess, and 8) physical activity quality.

PHYSICAL ACTIVITY GUIDELINES

It has been recommended that children (5–17 years old) should accumulate at least 60 minutes of moderate intensity physical activity each day [22, 23, 2], whilst for early years children (3–5-year-olds) it is recommended that at least 180 minutes of physical activity is achieved every day (Department of Health [24], Department of Health and Aging [25], Tremblay et al. [26]). Recently, however, a step change has been made in relation to physical activity guidelines. The Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Youth were the first to address the whole day [10, 27]. The Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Youth encourage children and youth to "Sweat, Step, Sleep and Sit". For optimal health benefits, children and youth (aged 5–17 years) should achieve high levels of physical activity, low levels of sedentary behaviour, and sufficient sleep each day. A healthy 24 hours includes: uninterrupted nine to 11 hours of sleep per night for those aged 5–13 years and eight to 10 hours per night for those aged 14–17 years, with consistent bed and wake-up times, and an accumulation of at least 60 minutes per day of moderate to vigorous PA (MVPA) involving a variety of aerobic activities. Vigorous physical activities and muscle and bone strengthening activities should each be incorporated on at least three days per week, several hours of a variety of structured and unstructured light physical activities, no more than two hours per day of recreational screen time, and limited sitting for extended periods. Preserving sufficient sleep, trading indoor time for outdoor time, and replacing sedentary behaviours and light physical activity with additional moderate to vigorous physical activity can provide greater health benefits [10, 27]. The rationale behind these changes was drawn from a series of comprehensive reviews (see: Saunders et al. [6], Chaput et al. [7], Carson et al. [8] and Poitras et al. [9]). Poitras et al. [9] supported the notion that children and youth accumulate at least 60 minutes per day of moderate to vigorous physical activity for disease prevention and health promotion [1]. Following a systematic review, Poitras et al. [9] reported that total physical activity was positively and significantly associated with physical, psychological/psychosocial, and cognitive health indicators [10, 27]. Relationships were more consistent and robust for higher-intensity compared with lighter-intensity physical activity, whilst light-intensity physical activity was positively associated with cardiometabolic biomarkers. The findings highlight the potential benefits of both light intensity physical activity and total physical activity, neither of which were captured in the previous guidelines [9]. A further review, by

Carson et al. [8], into sedentary behaviour found that higher durations and/or frequencies of screen time and television (TV) viewing were associated with adverse body composition; the frequency and time spent TV viewing was associated with higher cardiometabolic risk; TV viewing and video-game use were associated with adverse behavioural indicators; greater time spent reading and homework were associated with higher scholastic achievement; screen time was associated with lower cardiorespiratory fitness; and screen time and computer use were associated with reduced self-esteem [8]. Screen time has a stronger relationship with health indicators compared with overall sedentary time, and it is concluded that less sedentary behaviour (especially screen time) was associated with better health indicators [8]. A systematic review on the effect of sleep, by Chaput et al. [7], noted that longer sleep duration was linked with positive indicators of adiposity, emotional control, scholastic achievement, and overall health and well-being [7]. Chaput et al. [7] concluded that shorter sleep duration is congruent with detrimental physical and mental health outcomes. Finally, Saunders et al. [6] reported that school-aged children and youth having high physical activity, high sleep, low sedentary behaviour had better measures of adiposity, cardiometabolic health and general health indicators [6]. However, those who had low activity and sleep also had deleterious health indicators [6]. Collectively, the systematic reviews of Saunders et al. [6], Chaput et al. [7], Carson et al. [8] and Poitras et al. [9] provided an evidence base and led to the inception of the 24-hour movement guidelines, targeting a more holistic approach than previously seen. This presents a paradigm change representing a fundamental shift from focusing on behaviours in isolation, to the composition of behaviours across a whole day [9, 6–8]. Consideration for all behaviours along the movement spectrum as a collective is necessary and warranted, and holds promise in the promotion of population health [10].

CHILDHOOD PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

In children and young people (5–18 years of age) there is evidence of the beneficial effects of physical activity on musculoskeletal health, cardiorespiratory fitness, several components of cardiovascular disease, adiposity, and blood pressure [28, 5, 29, 30]. Further physical activity can improve children's psychological well-being and promote moral reasoning, positive self-concept, and social interaction [31]. Thus, physical activity and fitness in childhood are associated with numerous health benefits [32, 14] and should be promoted [33]. Furthermore, in the late 1980s, Blair et al. [34] hypothesised a number of relationships that linked childhood activity to adult health and adult activity; specifically, (i) childhood physical activity influences adult physical activity, which may affect adult health; (ii) childhood physical activity has a direct beneficial effect on the child's health, which predicts adult health; and (iii) childhood physical activity has a direct beneficial effect on adult health – this hypothesis has since been supported in the literature [16, 5].

Higher levels of physical activity in children are associated with improved cardiorespiratory fitness and muscular strength [35], enhanced bone health and reduced body fat [36]. Participation in physical activity is vital for enhancing children's physical, social, cognitive and psychological development [36]. Furthermore, children who frequently participate in physical activity demonstrate reduced symptoms of anxiety and depression, and improved self-esteem and confidence [36]. Whilst children's activity has been widely investigated, the pre-school period (3 to 5 years of age) is often overlooked, yet pre-school represents a crucial period of development whereby the regulation of energy balance is programmed [37]. For example, lifestyle behaviours are thought to track from pre-school to childhood, and subsequently into adulthood [38, 39], indicating that this is a critical time for promoting physical activity and preventing sedentary behaviours [40]. On the other hand, the relationship between physical activity, sedentary behaviour and health in the early years is not fully understood and warrants investigation [41]. Pivotal to the research is an accurate measure of physical activity that should go over above current approaches [42]. In addition, diversification and refinement on the approaches to measuring physical activity will enable better understanding of these relationships [43]. There has been much debate in the literature into whether young children are sufficiently active for health [44], and conflicting conclusions have been reached. One solution to the dearth of understanding throughout development (i.e. physical activity, motor development and control interaction) is to assess elements of movement quality as well as quantity.

MOTOR DEVELOPMENT

In a seminal study, Stodden et al. [45] proposed a theoretical model that explains the interaction between the development of motor competence, physical activity participation and weight management. Stodden et al. [45] suggested that motor competence is the underlying mechanism that will influence physical activity engagement levels. However, the model asserted that physical activity is also mediated by age, perceived motor competence, physical literacy, health related fitness and obesity risk [45]. During early childhood, the cognitive capability to accurately perceive motor competence is not sufficiently developed [46, 47], whilst in the ages preceding pubertal onset, it has been asserted that this is a critical or sensitive period in the ability of children to develop motor skills [48, 49]. However, when children reach middle to late childhood, their cognitive ability will have developed to the point they compare themselves to their peers [46, 47], resulting in a stronger relationship between motor competence and perceived motor competence. Children who have a higher perceived motor competence and higher motor competence will perceive tasks to be easier and are more likely to engage in physical activity, whereas the reverse is evident in children with low actual and perceived motor competence [50].

Based upon the findings of a comprehensive review, Robinson et al. [51] reported that a positive relationship exists between motor competence and physical activity across childhood, the strength of associations between motor competence and both cardiorespiratory endurance and muscular strength/endurance increase from childhood into adolescence. Finally, motor competence has tenuously been shown to be both a precursor and a consequence of weight status and demonstrates an inverse relationship across childhood and adolescence [51]. Whilst some literature has explored the impact youth physical activity levels have through the life course, there exists little more than tenuous links between early years and childhood motor competence, tracking across the life course. Therefore, adequate measures of motor competence and movement qualities are required to explore this further [42].

PHYSICAL ACTIVITY, FUNDAMENTAL MOVEMENT AND THE BODY MASS INDEX

Fundamental movement skills (FMS) are considered the basic building blocks for movement and provide the foundation for specialised and sport-specific movement skills required for participation in a variety of physical activities. Fundamental movement skills can be categorised into locomotor (e.g., run, hop, jump, leap), object-control (e.g., throw, catch, kick, strike), and stability (e.g., static balance) skills [52]. Current tools to assess FMS, such as the movement ABC test and the gross motor development test, assume that the reliability data and validity information is well founded [53]. However, there is insufficient evidence that clearly indicates the FMS test items are actually evaluating the motor skill constructs [54]. Test-retest and inter-rater reliability has been reported in the literature to range anywhere from 0.49 to 1.00 [55–60].

Despite reliability issues, there is strong evidence to suggest a positive association between fundamental movement skill competency, physical activity and health related benefits in children [61–64]. Many cross-sectional studies have shown a linear relationship between FMS and physical activity. However, cross-sectional data cannot determine causality; for example, it is not clear if FMS influences physical activity or if physical activity influences FMS. In a systematic review on FMS in children and adolescents, Lubans et al. [61] found that FMS was associated with organised and non-organised physical activity and pedometer step counts although ten studies were cross-sectional hindering cause and effect conclusions. Okely et al. [65] found that as little as 3% of organised physical activity was predicted from FMS levels (r^2 =0.03) in 13–16-year-old adolescents, whilst Hamstra-Wright et al. [66] reported that 29% of the variance in locomotor skills was accounted for by organised sport, which is a much higher percentage of variance than reported in the other studies (3% for Okely et al. [65], 10.4% for McKenzie et al. [67], 3.6% for Barnett et al. [68], 19.2% for Cliff et al. [69]).

Previous research has highlighted that FMS is inversely correlated with weight status [70–73], and out of the 21 studies cited in the Lubans et al. [61] systematic review, nine of them used body mass/BMI as a variable to compare FMS mastery. Six of the nine studies highlighted a significant inverse relationship between weight status and FMS mastery. Okely et al. [65] also established that overweight and obese children score lower in the locomotor skills (run, gallop, skip and hop). McKenzie et al. [67], on the other hand, did not find a significant relationship between childhood FMS scores and adolescent physical activity levels, although an inverse relationship between FMS and weight status was identified. The theory is that improving FMS at an early age will result in increased PA and improved health. This is an important concept given that excess body weight is significantly correlated with low physical activity levels, increased all-cause mortality risk and biomechanical movement perturbations [74, 75]. It has been shown that children with excess weight move less and with much greater difficulty than normal-weight peers [75–80], and the impact of excess body mass in children appears to hinder physical activity, movement quality and fundamental movement skill [45, 62]. The compromised movement in overweight children is attributed to greater force through joints, decreased mobility, modification of the gait pattern, and changes in the absolute and relative energy expenditures for a given activity [75, 78]. Furthermore, overweight children have a longer gait

Baltic Journal of Health and Physical Activity 2018; 10(4): 7-24
Journal of Gdansk University of Physical Education and Sport
e-ISSN 2080-9999

cycle and stance phase duration as well as a reduced cadence and velocity compared to normal weight [81-83]. The difficulty overweight children have in adapting to different walking speeds is disadvantageous when participating in physical activities involving frequent speed changes, including standardised fitness tests [78]. Spatiotemporal and kinetic analyses of obese vs. non-obese children showed that obese children were mechanically less efficient than normal weight children, i.e. obese children used more mechanical energy when walking at the same speed, compared to normal weight children [78].

PHYSICAL ACTIVITY AND ENERGY EXPENDITURE

A multitude of instruments providing objective measures of physical activity have been developed, the simplest being pedometers [84], which allow the estimation of distance walked and associated energy expenditure [85, 86]. Whereas, other sensors and methods, including; accelerometers, heart rate monitoring, doubly labelled water, and direct observation have been employed to objectively quantify physical activity and its related energy expenditure [87, 88].

Doubly labelled water is classified as the gold standard of energy expenditure measurement; however, this technique does not measure specific physical activities, per se, but rather estimates total energy expenditure over a period from which the physical activity energy expenditure can be calculated [89]. This method uses non-radio-labelled isotopes of oxygen and hydrogen (^{18}O and ^{2}H) administered as a standard dose of water at the start of the measurement period (usually 7–21 days). The ^{18}O is eliminated from the body in CO_2 and water, and the ^{2}H is eliminated as water only. The difference between the elimination rates of each isotope is an estimate of CO_2 production over the measurement period and the total energy expended during the measurement period can then be calculated using a standard equation [90]. Physical activity energy expenditure can then be calculated by subtracting dietary induced thermogenesis and resting energy expenditure from total energy expenditure [90]. However, physical activity is a complex multidimensional human behaviour that encompasses all bodily movement from fidgeting to marathon running [91, 92]. Consequently, it is important to understand the relationship between specific physical activities and energy expenditure. Types of physical activity may be spontaneous (i.e., daily life activity), obligatory (i.e., activity necessary for survival) or voluntary (i.e., formal, planned exercise) [93]. The major contributor to daily physical activity energy expenditure in children is spontaneous physical activity [94] . There is evidence that low levels of physical activity are associated with increased risk of weight gain and this, in turn, may have health consequences for children [5, 16, 95, 96], hence why the focus of physical activity literature has been on energy expenditure.

Physical activity is a multi-faceted construct and can be expressed and quantified in numerous ways. For example, physical activity can be described according to context, such as surrounding environment and social conditions and further characterised according to type, frequency, duration and intensity [97]. The type or modality of physical activity (recreational, obligatory or occupational, aerobic or anaerobic, continuous or intermittent, weight-bearing or non-weight bearing) refers to the specific activity in which the individual is engaged. The frequency of physical activity refers to the number of bouts of physical activity over time, whilst duration is the length of time in each activity bout. The dose of physical activity, however, may be expressed each activity bout. The dose of physical activity, however, may be expressed according to absolute or relative intensity. Absolute intensity is the actual rate of energy expenditure over a specified time period and is generally expressed as oxygen uptake ($\dot{V}O_2$; $L \cdot min^{-1}$), oxygen uptake relative to body mass ($ml \cdot kg^{-1} min^{-1}$) and/or energy expenditure ($kcal \cdot min^{-1}$, $kJ \cdot min^{-1}$, MJ, $kJ \cdot kg^{-1}$). Absolute intensity can further be described according to multiples of resting energy expenditure using the metabolic equivalents classification (MET). METs are defined as the ratio of energy expended from work to resting metabolic equivalent (3.5 mL of $O_2 \cdot kg^{-1} min^{-1}$) or 1 $kcal \cdot kg^{-1} hr^{-1}$. Knowing the MET value associated with a particular type of activity and individual body mass permits the energy cost of the activity to be estimated [98, 99].

The Compendium of Physical Activities was conceived in 1993 and subsequently revised in 2000 and currently presents MET values for 605 specific activities for adults, categorised under 21 major headings [99, 100]. The values range from 0.9 METs (sleeping) to 18 METs (running at 10.9 mph). MET values are used to express the intensity of physical activity according to intensity categories (i.e., light, moderate, vigorous). Although absolute intensity levels corresponding to MET values exist for children, research is equivocal over which are the most appropriate. In most studies, moderate intensity is defined as ≥3 METs. It is asserted by some that a threshold of ≥5 METs is more suitable for children [101, 102]. More recent evidence suggests ≥4 METs is an appropriate threshold for describing ≥moderate intensity activity in children [101, 102].

A review of physical activity measurement reported that 63% of monitoring devices used were accelerometers, predominantly the ActiGraph [107], whilst literature has focussed on quantifying activity in the form of activity counts, time spent above or below activity thresholds or energy expenditure, as opposed to the movement qualities in real-life settings [108, 109]. Furthermore, functional limitations, such as high frequency movement and noise information escaping the bandpass filter which in turn adds unexplained variation in activity counts [110], variations in the period length, cut points and device type further add to the lack of clarity in the literature [111–113].

PHYSICAL ACTIVITY AND RECESS

Children spend a significant proportion of their waking time at school. Non-curricular time, such as school recess periods (recess and lunch break) and after-school programs, provides opportunities for children to be physically active within the school environment [114, 115]. Of these contexts, recess periods may provide the single greatest opportunity during the school day to impact on children's physical activity levels [116, 117, 79]. However, in recent years there has been a trend to reduce the frequency and duration of school recess, or remove it from the school day altogether, often due to academic pressures [118, 115]. Consequently, it is important that school recess is included in school-based physical activity programming and policy, and that the recess environment is conducive for children to make physically active choices [119]. Whilst the scheduling and duration of recess periods vary between countries, social and physical environments that facilitate enjoyable and safe physical activity engagement in this context would be advantageous [120]. A number of reviews have examined correlates of preschool, children's and adolescents' physical activity [121–123], yet these have predominantly focused on factors associated with whole-day activity. Such work that fails to focus on the playground fails to capitalise on an important opportunity. The playground provides the best environment for correlates linked to physical activity to be meaningfully compared, providing children with the same environment and time for physical activity with few control variables. Since physical activity is a multidimensional behaviour influenced by numerous factors across several domains [124], it is logical to also consider specific contexts in which children and adolescents are active. This notion links to a conceptual model proposed by Welk [125], where the author addressed the area of motor competence. Welk [125] categorised the five most commonly reported determinants/correlates of physical activity into (1) personal, (2) biological, (3) psychological, (4) social, and (5) environmental, and the available literature supports this assertion. Welk [125] suggests in his conceptual model that biological factors, such as physical skills and fitness, act as enabling factors that are promoted by physical activity with increased fitness and competence, leading to increased adherence to physical activity and subsequent enhancement of perceived and actual competence.

Ridgers et al. [123] conducted a systematic review into correlates of physical activity during recess and reported that age, grade level, BMI, cardiovascular fitness, outdoor environment, physical education provision, and number of recess periods had no association with recess physical activity [126–128]. The authors went on to summarise a number of significant, positive associations with physical activity during recess, which included playing ball games, being male, perceived encouragement, loose equipment and overall facility equipment [128–130]. Current research indicates that many correlates of recess physical activity are equivocal, indicating that more empirical research is required [123]; for example, special educational needs, supervision, socioeconomic status, fixed equipment, playground markings, season, temperature, weather, organised activities and recess duration all affect recess activity to varying levels [123]. Whilst an appreciation must also be made for the differences between primary (3–11y) and secondary (12–16y) school aged children. Factors consistently reported to significantly influence primary school aged physical activity levels include; sex (being male), parental overweight status, parent support physical activity preferences, intention to be active, perceived barriers (inverse), previous physical activity, healthy diet, program/facility access, and time spent outdoors [123, 131–135]. Whilst commonly reported factors significantly associated with secondary school aged physical activity are sex (being male), ethnicity (white), age (inverse), perceived motor competence, depression (inverse), previous physical activity, community sports, sedentary time (inverse), and parental support, highlighting that in older age groups (adolescents vs children) social and mental health/well-being factors increase in their influence, whilst biological factors remain constant [123, 131–135].

A further consideration is that a range of physical activity measures have been used to assess physical activity levels, which has a profound influence on the identified associations. While the majority of child studies reported in Ridgers et al. [123] utilised objective measures to quantify physical activity during recess (such as accelerometry and direct observation), aspects such as device type, model type, accelerometer cut-points and observation systems have varied widely, thereby hindering many of the observations [123]. Furthermore, self-report measures are well documented to be less accurate [136]. Therefore, future research should explore how movement quality indicators may be used, in conjunction with traditional quantitative measures, i.e. energy expenditure, overall activity counts [42], and to characterise and profile children's physical activity movement and gait quality.

PHYSICAL ACTIVITY QUALITY

A contemporary problem that needs addressing is a clear definition of 'quality' in a physical activity context. Whilst quantity, with reference to physical activity, is well described, with the most common definition coming in form of the razor coined by Casperson et al. [137], "physical activity is defined as any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that results in energy expenditure", the term 'quality' is nebulous and can have connotations relating to physical activity, movement, psychology, physiology, biochemistry, well-being, emotional state, biomechanics or even life.

Quality can be used to describe an individual's overall self-assessment or subjective appraisal of well-being or life satisfaction associated with physical status and functional abilities, mental health, happiness, satisfaction with interpersonal relationships and economic and/or vocational status [138, 139]. For children and youth, there is the additional domain of school/academia [138]. Health-related quality of life includes aspects of overall quality of life that are directly related to physical and/or mental health [138, 139]. As such, health related quality of life reflects the degree to which a person is able to participate physically, emotionally and socially with or without assistance [140].

Social interactions and participation can also be described in terms of quality. Full social participation is considered a fundamental human need, with empirical evidence finding that lack of social connections increases the odds of death by at least 50% [141]. The quality of multidimensional tenets of social relationships have been reported to increase odds of mortality by 91% among the socially isolated [141]. The magnitude of this effect is comparable to that of other known risk factors of mortality, such as obesity or physical inactivity [141, 142]. In humans of all ages, deficits in social relationship quality, such as social isolation or low social support can similarly lead to chronic activation of immune, neuroendocrine, and metabolic systems that lie in the pathways, leading to cardiovascular, neoplastic, and other common aging-related diseases [143–147].

Objectively-measured biomarkers of physical health, such as C-reactive protein, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, waist circumference, and body mass index can be used to indicate physiological quality [148, 149]. For instance, blood pressure may be used to determine the quality and efficiency of the myocardium's ability to distribute and regulate blood flow [148, 149]. Physiological quality may also refer to a molecular and cellular level and the capability to perform basic cellular functions. All cells perform certain basic functions essential for their own survival. These basic cell functions include, but are not limited to: nutrient retention, chemical reactions, waste removal, protein synthesis and reproduction [148, 149]. If any cell within the human system does not perform these basic, and subsequent specialised, functions then the quality of the cell would be considered compromised.

Quality can also be referred to in the context of gait and has been determined using raw accelerations, aligned to anatomical axes with respect to gravity [150–152] and analysis of the bipedal (left-to-right leg) symmetry [153–155].

Quality can be determined from bouts of locomotion and described as vertical trunk displacement [156–158], stride frequency, and walking speed [156–158]. Whilst gait quality can be described in terms of intensity, expressed as the root mean square of the signal, variability expressed as stride-to-stride variability in walking speed, stride frequency and length, symmetry expressed as the harmonic ratio [159, 160], smoothness expressed as the index of harmonicity [161], and complexity expressed as the mean logarithmic rate of divergence per stride using Wolf's method [162] and sample entropy [163]. Further examples include the autocorrelation at the dominant period [152], the magnitude and width of the dominant period in the frequency domain [164–166] and the percentage of power below 0.7 Hz [167]. However, authors, such as Bellanca et al. [18] and Brach et al. [19], have demonstrated that quality measurements in specific populations can be derived from analysing the fundamental frequency and harmonic content of movement. With the addition of raw accelerometry, novel analytics, such as fast Fourier transformation (FFT), has been used to process the accelerometer signal and identify gait qualities: walking smoothness, walking rhythmicity, dynamic stability and stride symmetry [18, 19]. Operationally, the term 'quality' can be defined and derived from the fundamental frequency spectra (signal) during human movement, specifically relating to ambulation [168]. Following this ontology, the utility of a quantitative measure of movement quality has surreptitiously acquired a reasonable empirical evidence base. Recent evidence has suggested that spectral purity derived movement quality is a viable proxy measure of the fundamental aspects of movement; in pre-adolescent children, this was manifest as significant correlations to cardiorespiratory fitness, time to exhaustion in standardised fitness tests and body-mass indices [169]. Further evidence in pre-adolescents' has demonstrated the sensitivity of movement quality measurement; Clark et al. [170] demonstrated that whilst overall PA remains invariant day-to-day, the quality of movement subsumed in overall PA significantly differs, daily. Whilst in pre-school children spectral purity was shown to be clustered with motor competence and significantly different between motor competency classification, suggesting underlying frequency components of movement need to be further investigated for the measurement of movement quality in children [169, 171]. Moreover, whilst it has been demonstrated that a proxy for overall physical activity was positively, and significantly, correlated with motor competence [61, 172, 64], spectral purity was shown, in Clark et al. [171], to have a stronger relationship to motor competence than overall activity, thereby highlighting the need for future research to examine and further establish this relationship.

CONCLUSIONS

This narrative review has summarised the current evidence base surrounding physical activity and its relationship to health, recess, motor competence/FMS, body mass index and energy expenditure, whilst also appreciating the current physical activity guidelines, all towards the development of a quantitative measure of physical activity quality.

Physical activity is a complex construct and should not be pigeonholed to simply quantity of activity, it may pertain to physical behaviour, movement quality, characteristics of movement, joint angles during movement, force production, motor competency, volume of activity, or even psychological constructs [92]. A substantial amount of research using accelerometers to examine physical activity has focused far more acutely on examining characteristics of movements in a contextualised setting, to later be applied to a wider application [18, 19, 173]. In the literature, the general reference to physical activity refers to the idea of capturing overall quantity; however, physical activity is an umbrella term, for which many things could be inferred. For example, posture classification, movement classification, EE estimation, fall detection or balance and control assessment, frequency component or gait analysis [18, 19]. Physical activity, measured by overall quantity is demonstrably unresponsive to interventions, as a systematic review by Metcalf et al. [174] found that physical activity interventions only improve physical activity quantity, on average, by four minutes per day. Furthermore, Altenburg et al. [175] found interventions specifically designed to target sedentary behaviour are equally ineffective. It is, therefore, this author's recommendation that accelerometers placement and explicit use and application be better defined.

REFERENCES

[1] Tremblay MS, Warburton DE, Janssen I, et al. New Canadian physical activity guidelines. Appl Physiol Nutri Metab. = Physiologie appliquee, nutrition et metabolisme. 2011;36(1):36-46;7-58. https://doi.org/10.1139/H11-009

[2] WHO. Global recommendations on physical activity for health. http://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/publications/9789241599979/en/. 2010.

[3] LeBlanc AG, Janssen I. Difference between self-reported and accelerometer measured moderate-to-vigorous physical activity in youth. Pediatr Exerc Sci. 2010;22(4):523-34. https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.22.4.523

[4] Nelson TF, Gortmaker SL, Subramanian SV, Cheung L, Wechsler H. Disparities in overweight and obesity among US college students. Am J Health Behav. 2007;31(4):363-73. https://doi.org/10.5993/AJHB.31.4.3

[5] Strong WB, Malina RM, Blimkie CJ, et al. Evidence based physical activity for school-age youth. J Pediatr. 2005;146(6):732-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2005.01.055

[6] Saunders TJ, Gray CE, Poitras VJ, et al. Combinations of physical activity, sedentary behaviour and sleep: Relationships with health indicators in school-aged children and youth. Appl Physiol Nutri Metab. = Physiologie appliquee, nutrition et metabolisme. 2016;41(6 Suppl 3):S283-93. https://doi.org/10.1139/apnm-2015-0626

[7] Chaput JP, Gray CE, Poitras VJ, et al. Systematic review of the relationships between sleep duration and health indicators in school-aged children and youth. Appl Physiol Nutri Metab. = Physiologie appliquee, nutrition et metabolisme. 2016;41(6 Suppl 3):S266-82. https://doi.org/10.1139/apnm-2015-0627

[8] Carson V, Hunter S, Kuzik N, et al. Systematic review of sedentary behaviour and health indicators in school-aged children and youth: An update. Appl Physiol Nutri Metab. = Physiologie appliquee, nutrition et metabolisme. 2016;41(6 Suppl 3):S240-65. https://doi.org/10.1139/apnm-2015-0630

[9] Poitras VJ, Gray CE, Borghese MM, et al. Systematic review of the relationships between objectively measured physical activity and health indicators in school-aged children and youth. Appl Physiol Nutri Metab. = Physiologie appliquee, nutrition et metabolisme. 2016;41(6 Suppl 3):S197-239. https://doi.org/10.1139/apnm-2015-0663

[10] Tremblay MS, Carson V, Chaput JP, et al. Canadian 24-hour movement guidelines for children and youth: An integration of physical activity, sedentary behaviour, and sleep. Appl Physiol Nutri Metab. = Physiologie appliquee, nutrition et metabolisme. 2016;41(6 Suppl 3):S311-27. https://doi.org/10.1139/apnm-2016-0151

[11] American Academy of P. Policy Statement: Prevention of overweight and obesity. Pediatrics. 2007;119(2):405. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2006-3222

[12] Tremblay MS, Esliger DW, Copeland JL, Barnes JD, Bassett DR. Moving forward by looking back: lessons learned from long-lost lifestyles. Appl Physiol Nutri Metab. = Physiologie appliquee, nutrition et metabolisme. 2008;33(4):836-42. https://doi.org/10.1139/H08-045

[13] Warburton DE, Charlesworth S, Ivey A, Nettlefold L, Bredin SS. A systematic review of the evidence for Canada's Physical Activity Guidelines for Adults. Int J Nutr Phys Act. 2010;7:39. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-7-39. https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-7-39

[14] Ortega FB, Konstabel K, Pasquali E, et al. Objectively measured physical activity and sedentary time during childhood, adolescence and young adulthood: A cohort study. PLoS One. 2013;8(4):e60871. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0060871

[15] Sallis JF, Patrick K. Physical activity guidelines for adolescents: Consensus statement. Pediatric Exer Sci. 1994;6:302-14. https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.6.4.302

[16] Telama R, Yang X, Leskinen E, et al. Tracking of physical activity from early childhood through youth into adulthood. Med Sci Sport Exerc. 2013. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000000181

[17] Twisk JW, Kemper HC, van Mechelen W, Post GB. Tracking of risk factors for coronary heart disease over a 14-year period: A comparison between lifestyle and biologic risk factors with data from the Amsterdam Growth and Health Study. Am J Epidemiol. 1997;145(10):888-98. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a009048

[18] Bellanca JL, Lowry KA, Vanswearingen JM, Brach JS, Redfern MS. Harmonic ratios: A quantification of step to step symmetry. J Biomech. 2013;46(4):828-31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2012.12.008

[19] Brach JS, McGurl D, Wert D, et al. Validation of a measure of smoothness of walking. J Gerontol: Series A, Biol Sci Med Sci. 2011;66(1):136-41. https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glq170

[20] Sejdic E, Lowry KA, Bellanca J, Redfern MS, Brach JS. A comprehensive assessment of gait accelerometry signals in time, frequency and time-frequency domains. IEEE transactions on neural systems and rehabilitation engineering: A publication of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society. 2014;22(3):603-12. https://doi.org/10.1109/TNSRE.2013.2265887

[21] Howcroft J, Kofman J, Lemaire ED. Review of fall risk assessment in geriatric populations using inertial sensors. J Neuroeng Rehab. 2013;10(1):91. https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-0003-10-91

[22] Cavill N, Biddle S, Sallis JF. Health enhancing physical activity for young people: Statement of the United Kingdom Expert Consensus Conference. Pediatr Exerc Sci. 2001;13(1):12-25. https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.13.1.12

[23] CMO. Start active, stay alive: A report on physical activity for health from the four home countries' Chief Medical Officers. UK2011.

[24] Health Do. Start Active, Stay Active: A report on physical activity from the four home countries' Chief Medical Officers. In: Health Do, editor. London, UK2011.

[25] Aging DoHa. Get up and grow: Healthy eating and physical activity for early childhood. In: Aging DoHa, editor. Canberra, Australia: Australian Government; 2010.

[26] Tremblay MS, LeBlanc AG, Carson V, et al. Canadian Physical Activity Guidelines for the Early Years (aged 0–4 years). Appl Physiol Nutr Metab. 2012;37(2):345-56. https://doi.org/10.1139/h2012-018

[27] Tremblay MS, Carson V, Chaput JP. Introduction to the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Youth: An Integration of Physical Activity, Sedentary Behaviour, and Sleep. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab. = Physiologie appliquee, nutrition et metabolisme. 2016;41(6 Suppl 3):iii-iv. https://doi.org/10.1139/apnm-2016-0203

[28] Biddle SJ, Gorely T, Stensel DJ. Health-enhancing physical activity and sedentary behaviour in children and adolescents. J Sport Sci. 2004;22(8):679-701. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640410410001712412

[29] Webber KJ, Loescher LJ. A systematic review of parent role modeling of healthy eating and physical activity for their young African American children. JSPN. 2013;18(3):173-88. https://doi.org/10.1111/jspn.12033

[30] Katzmarzyk PT, Barreira TV, Broyles ST, et al. Physical activity, sedentary time, and obesity in an international sample of children. Med Sci Sport Exerc. 2015;47(10):2062-9. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000000649

[31] Bunker LK. Psycho-physiological contributions of physical activity and sports for girls. President's Council on Physical Fitness and Sports Research Digest. 1998;3:1-10.

[32] Kristensen PL, Moeller NC, Korsholm L, et al. The association between aerobic fitness and physical activity in children and adolescents: the European youth heart study. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2010;110(2):267-75. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-010-1491-x

[33] Mountjoy M, Andersen LB, Armstrong N, et al. International Olympic Committee consensus statement on the health and fitness of young people through physical activity and sport. Br J Sport Med. 2011;45(11):839-48. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2011-090228

[34] Blair SN, Clark DG, Cureton KJ, Powell KE. Exercise and fitness in childhood: Implications for a lifetime of health. In: Gisolfi CV, Lamb D, editors. Perspectives in Exercise Science and Sports Medicine. New York: McGraw-Hill; 1989.

[35] Morrow JR, Jr., Tucker JS, Jackson AW, Martin SB, Greenleaf CA, Petrie TA. Meeting physical activity guidelines and health-related fitness in youth. Am J Prevent Med. 2013;44(5):439-44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2013.01.008

[36] Janssen I, Leblanc AG. Systematic review of the health benefits of physical activity and fitness in school-aged children and youth. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2010;7:40. https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-7-40

[37] Dietz WH. Periods of risk in childhood for the development of adult obesity - What do we need to learn? J Nutr. 1997;127(9):S1884-S6. https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/127.9.1884S

[38] Malina RM. Tracking of physical activity and physical fitness across the lifespan. Res Q Exerc Sport. 1996;67(3):S48-S57. https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.1996.10608853

[39] Biddle SJ, Asare M. Physical activity and mental health in children and adolescents: A review of reviews. Br J Sport Med. 2011;45(11):886-95. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2011-090185

[40] Goldfield GS, Harvey A, Grattan K, Adamo KB. Physical activity promotion in the preschool years: A critical period to intervene. Int J Environ Res Publ Health. 2012;9(4):1326-42. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph9041326

[41] Guinhouya BC, Samouda H, Zitouni D, Vilhelm C, Hubert H. Evidence of the influence of physical activity on the metabolic syndrome and/or on insulin resistance in pediatric populations: A systematic review. Int J Pediatr Obes. 2011;6(5-6):361-88. https://doi.org/10.3109/17477166.2011.605896

[42] Clark CC, Barnes CM, Stratton G, McNarry MA, Mackintosh KA, Summers HD. A review of emerging analytical techniques for objective physical activity measurement in humans. Sport Med. 2016. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0585-y

[43] Timmons BW, Leblanc AG, Carson V, et al. Systematic review of physical activity and health in the early years (aged 0-4 years). Appl Physiol Nutr Metab. = Physiologie appliquee, nutrition et metabolisme. 2012;37(4):773-92. https://doi.org/10.1139/h2012-070

[44] Tucker P. The physical activity levels of preschool-aged children: A systematic review. Early Child Res Q. 2008;23(4):547-58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecresq.2008.08.005

[45] Stodden DF, Goodway JD, Langendorfer SJ, et al. A developmental perspective on the role of motor skill competence in physical activity: An emergent relationship. Quest. 2008;60(2):290-306. https://doi.org/10.1080/00336297.2008.10483582

[46] Harter S. The construction of the self: a developmental perspective. New York: Guilford Press; 1999.

[47] Schneider W, Schumann-Hengsteler R, Sodian B. Young children's cognitive development: Interrelationships among executive functioning, working memory, verbal ability, and theory of mind. Taylor & Francis; 2014. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781410612007

[48] Hirtz P, Starosta W. Sensitive and critical periods of motor co-ordination development and its relation to motor learning. J Hum Kinet. 2002;7:19-28.

[49] Sylva K. Critical periods in childhood learning. Br Med Bull. 1997;53(1):185-97. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.bmb.a011599

[50] Weiss MR, Amorose AJ. Children's self-perception, in the physical domain: Between-and within-age variability in level, accuracy, and sources of perceived competence. J Sport Exerc Psychol. 2005;27:244. https://doi.org/10.1123/jsep.27.2.226

[51] Robinson LE, Stodden DF, Barnett LM, et al. Motor competence and its effect on positive developmental trajectories of health. Sport Med. 2015;45(9):1273-84. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-015-0351-6

[52] Gallahue DL, Ozmun JC. Understanding motor development: infants, children, adolescents, adults. Boston; United States: McGraw-Hill; 2006.

[53] Henderson S, Sugden D, Barnett A. Movement assessment battery for children-2. 2nd edition [Movement ABC-2]. London, UK: The Psychological Corporation; 2007. https://doi.org/10.1037/t55281-000

[54] Brown T, Lalor A. The movement assessment battery for children – second edition (MABC-2): A review and critique. Phys Occup Ther Pediatr. 2009;29(1):86-103. https://doi.org/10.1080/01942630802574908

[55] Visser J, Jongmans M. Extending the movement assessment battery for children to be suitable for 3-year-olds in the Netherlands. 2004.

[56] Chow SK, Chan LL, Chan C, Lau CHY. Reliability of the experimental version of the Movement ABC. Br J Ther Rehab. 2002;9:404-7. https://doi.org/10.12968/bjtr.2002.9.10.13677

[57] Faber I, Nijhuis-van der Sanden MW. The movement assessment battery for children. Standardisation and reliability of age band 5: Young adults. 2004.

[58] Podstawski R, Konopka S, Choszcz D, et al. Evaluation of the reliability of the 8-second skipping with hand clapping test in 5 and 6 year old kindergarteners with use of the test-retest method: methodological aspects and practical implications. Hum Mov. 2018;19(3):55-63. https://doi.org/10.5114/hm.2018.76080

[59] Podstawski R, Konopka S, Choszcz D, Merino-Marban R, Romero-Ramos O, Curtolo C. Evaluation of the reliability of the 8-second skipping with hand clapping test with use of the retest method. Trends Sport Sci. 2017;4(24):143-50. https://doi.org/10.23829/TSS.2017.24.4-1

[60] Podstawski R, Markowski P, Choszcz D, Klimczak J, Romero-Ramos O, Merino-Marban R. Methodological aspect of evaluation of the reliability of the 3-minute buroee test. Arch Budo. 2016;12(137-144).

[61] Lubans DR, Morgan PJ, Cliff DP, Barnett LM, Okely AD. Fundamental movement skills in children and adolescents: review of associated health benefits. Sport Med. 2010;40(12):1019-35. https://doi.org/10.2165/11536850-000000000-00000

[62] Barnett L, Stodden DF, Cohen KE, et al. Fundamental movement skills: An important focus. J Teach Phys Educ. 2016; Advance Online Publication. https://doi.org/10.1123/jtpe.2014-0209

[63] Barnett LM, Ridgers ND, Salmon J. Associations between young children's perceived and actual ball skill competence and physical activity. J Sci Med Sport / Sports Medicine Australia. 2015;18(2):167-71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2014.03.001

[64] Holfelder B, Schott N. Relationship of fundamental movement skills and physical activity in children and adolescents: A systematic review. Psychol Sport Exerc. 2014;15(4):382-91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2014.03.005

[65] Okely AD, Booth ML, Patterson JW. Relationship of physical activity to fundamental movement skills among adolescents. Med Sci Sport Exerc. 2001;33(11):1899-904. https://doi.org/10.1097/00005768-200111000-00015

[66] Hamstra-Wright KL, Swanik CB, Sitler MR, et al. Gender comparisons of dynamic restraint and motor skill in children. Clin J Sport Med: Official journal of the Canadian Academy of Sport Medicine. 2006;16(1):56-62. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.jsm.0000179232.10261.65

[67] McKenzie TL, Sallis JF, Broyles SL, et al. Childhood movement skills: Predictors of physical activity in Anglo American and Mexican American adolescents? Res Q Exerc Sport. 2002;73(3):238-44. https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2002.10609017

[68] Barnett LM, van Beurden E, Morgan PJ, Brooks LO, Beard JR. Childhood motor skill proficiency as a predictor of adolescent physical activity. J Adolesc Health: official publication of the Society for Adolescent Medicine. 2009;44(3):252-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2008.07.004

[69] Cliff DP, Okely AD, Smith LM, McKeen K. Relationships between fundamental movement skills and objectively measured physical activity in preschool children. Pediatr Exerc Sci. 2009;21(4):436-49. https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.21.4.436

[70] Cairney J, Hay JA, Faught BE, Hawes R. Developmental coordination disorder and overweight and obesity in children aged 9-14 y. Int J Obes. 2005;29(4):369-72. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ijo.0802893

[71] Rivilis I, Hay J, Cairney J, Klentrou P, Liu J, Faught BE. Physical activity and fitness in children with developmental coordination disorder: a systematic review. Res Develop Disab. 2011;32(3):894-910. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2011.01.017

[72] Lopes VP, Stodden DF, Bianchi MM, Maia JA, Rodrigues LP. Correlation between BMI and motor coordination in children. J Sci Med Sport / Sports Medicine Australia. 2012;15(1):38-43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2011.07.005

[73] Lopes VP, Rodrigues LP, Maia JA, Malina RM. Motor coordination as predictor of physical activity in childhood. Scand J Med Sci Sport. 2011;21(5):663-9. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0838.2009.01027.x

[74] Hamstra-Wright KL, Swanik CB, Sitler MR, et al. The role of excess mass in the adaptation of children's gait. Hum Mov Sci. 2014;36:12-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2014.05.002

[75] Nantel J, Mathieu ME, Prince F. Physical activity and obesity: biomechanical and physiological key concepts. J Obes. 2011;2011:650230. https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/650230

[76] Shultz SP, Hills AP, Sitler MR, Hillstrom HJ. Body size and walking cadence affect lower extremity joint power in children's gait. Gait & Posture. 2010;32(2):248-52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2010.05.001

[77] Blakemore VJ, Fink PW, Lark SD, Shultz SP. Mass affects lower extremity muscle activity patterns in children's gait. Gait & Posture. 2013;38(4):609-13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2013.02.002

[78] Nantel J, Brochu M, Prince F. Locomotor strategies in obese and non-obese children. Obesity. 2006;14(10):1789-94. https://doi.org/10.1038/oby.2006.206

[79] Stratton G, Ridgers ND, Fairclough SJ, Richardson DJ. Physical activity levels of normal-weight and overweight girls and boys during primary school recess. Obesity. 2007;15(6):1513-9. https://doi.org/10.1038/oby.2007.179

[80] McNarry MA, Boddy LM, Stratton GS. The relationship between body mass index, aerobic performance and asthma in a pre-pubertal, population-level cohort. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2014;114(2):243-9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-013-2772-y

[81] McGraw B, McClenaghan BA, Williams HG, Dickerson J, Ward DS. Gait and postural stability in obese and nonobese prepubertal boys. Arch Phys Med Rehab. 2000;81(4):484-9. https://doi.org/10.1053/mr.2000.3782

[82] Hills AP, Andersen LB, Byrne NM. Physical activity and obesity in children. Br J Sport Med. 2011;45(11):866-70. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2011-090199

[83] Hills AP, Parker AW. Gait characteristics of obese pre-pubertal children: effects of diet and exercise on parameters. Int J Rehab Res. 1991;14(4):348-9. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004356-199112000-00010

[84] Yang CC, Hsu YL. A review of accelerometry-based wearable motion detectors for physical activity monitoring. Sensors. 2010;10(8):7772-88. https://doi.org/10.3390/s100807772

[85] Tudor-Locke C, Craig CL, Beets MW, et al. How many steps/day are enough? for children and adolescents. Int J Behav Nutr Phy. 2011;8:78. https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-8-78

[86] Tudor-Locke C, Craig CL, Brown WJ, et al. How many steps/day are enough? for adults. Int J Behav Nutr Phy. 2011;8:79. https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-8-79

[87] Corder K, Ekelund U, Steele RM, Wareham NJ, Brage S. Assessment of physical activity in youth. J Appl Physiol. 2008;105(3):977-87. https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00094.2008

[88] Clark CCT, Nobre GC, Fernandes JFT, et al. Physical activity characterization: does one site fit all? Physiol Measur. 2018;39(9):09TR2. https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6579/aadad0

[89] Schoeller DA. Recent advances from application of doubly labeled water to measurement of human energy expenditure. J Nutr. 1999;129(10):1765-8. https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/129.10.1765

[90] Ekelund U, Sjostrom M, Yngve A, et al. Physical activity assessed by activity monitor and doubly labeled water in children. Med Sci Sport Exerc. 2001;33(2):275-81. https://doi.org/10.1097/00005768-200102000-00017

[91] Welk G. Physical activity assessments for health-related research. Champaign: Human Kinetics; 2002.

[92] Bussmann JB, van den Berg-Emons RJ. To total amount of activity... and beyond: Perspectives on measuring physical behavior. Frontier Psycholog. 2013;4:463. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00463

[93] Thorburn AW, Proietto J. Biological determinants of spontaneous physical activity. Obes Rev.: an official journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity. 2000;1(2):87-94. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1467-789x.2000.00018.x

[94] Baquet G, Stratton G, Van Praagh E, Berthoin S. Improving physical activity assessment in prepubertal children with high-frequency accelerometry monitoring: a methodological issue. Prevent Med. 2007;44(2):143-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2006.10.004

[95] Shiri R, Solovieva S, Husgafvel-Pursiainen K, et al. The role of obesity and physical activity in non-specific and radiating low back pain: the Young Finns study. Seminars Arthritis Rheuma. 2013;42(6):640-50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semarthrit.2012.09.002

[96] Esparza J, Fox C, Harper IT, Bennett PH, Schulz LO, Valencia ME, Ravussin E. Daily energy expenditure in Mexican and USA Pima indians: Low physical activity as a possible cause of obesity. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.: journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity. 2000;24(1):55-9. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ijo.0801085

[97] Montoye HJ. Introduction: evaluation of some measurements of physical activity and energy expenditure. Med Sci Sport Exerc.2000;32(9 Suppl):S439-41. https://doi.org/10.1097/00005768-200009001-00001

[98] Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Herrmann SD, et al. 2011 Compendium of Physical Activities: A second update of codes and MET values. Med Sci Sport Exerc.2011;43(8):1575-81. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e31821ece12

[99] Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Leon AS, et al. Compendium of physical activities: classification of energy costs of human physical activities. Med Sci Sport Exerc. 1993;25(1):71-80. https://doi.org/10.1249/00005768-199301000-00011

[100] Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Whitt MC, et al. Compendium of physical activities: an update of activity codes and MET intensities. Med Sci Sport Exerc. 2000;32(9 Suppl):S498-504. https://doi.org/10.1097/00005768-200009001-00009

[101] Riddoch CJ, Boreham CA. The health-related physical activity of children. Sport Med. 1995;19(2):86-102. https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-199519020-00002

[102] Mattocks C, Leary S, Ness A, et al. Calibration of an accelerometer during free-living activities in children. Int J Pediatr Obes.: an official journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity. 2007;2(4):218-26. https://doi.org/10.1080/17477160701408809

[103] Bouten CV, Westerterp KR, Verduin M, Janssen JD. Assessment of energy expenditure for physical activity using a triaxial accelerometer. Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 1994;26(12):1516-23. https://doi.org/10.1249/00005768-199412000-00016

[104] Bouten CV, Koekkoek KT, Verduin M, Kodde R, Janssen JD. A triaxial accelerometer and portable data processing unit for the assessment of daily physical activity. IEEE transactions on bio-medical engineering. 1997;44(3):136-47. https://doi.org/10.1109/10.554760

[105] Plasqui G, Westerterp KR. Physical activity assessment with accelerometers: An evaluation against doubly labeled water. Obesity. 2007;15(10):2371-9. https://doi.org/10.1038/oby.2007.281

[106] Crouter SE, Clowers KG, Bassett DR, Jr. A novel method for using accelerometer data to predict energy expenditure. J Appl Physiol. 2006;100(4):1324-31. https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00818.2005

[107] Oliver M, Schofield GM, Kolt GS. Physical activity in preschoolers: Understanding prevalence and measurement issues. Sport Med. 2007;37(12):1045-70. https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-200737120-00004

[108] Mathie MJ, Coster AC, Lovell NH, Celler BG. Accelerometry: Providing an integrated, practical method for long-term, ambulatory monitoring of human movement. Physiol Measur. 2004;25(2):R1-20. https://doi.org/10.1088/0967-3334/25/2/R01

[109] van Hees VT, Gorzelniak L, Leon E, et al., editors. A method to compare new and traditional accelerometry data in physical activity monitoring. World of Wireless Mobile and Multimedia Networks (WoWMoM); 2012; Montreal, QC, Canada.

[110] Brond JC, Arvidson D, editors. Sampling frequency affects ActiGraph activity counts. ICAMPAM; 2015; Limerick, Ireland.

[111] Strath SJ, Bassett DR, Jr., Swartz AM. Comparison of MTI accelerometer cut-points for predicting time spent in physical activity. Int J Sport Med. 2003;24(4):298-303. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2003-39504

[112] Edwardson CL, Gorely T. Epoch length and its effect on physical activity intensity. Med Sci Sport Exerc. 2010;42(5):928-34. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181c301f5

[113] Bassett DR, Jr, Rowlands A, Trost SG. Calibration and validation of wearable monitors. Med Sci Sport Exerc. 2012;44(1 Suppl 1):S32-8. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e3182399cf7

[114] Jago R, Watson K, Baranowski T, Zakeri I, Yoo S, Baranowski J, Conry K. Pedometer reliability, validity and daily activity targets among 10- to 15-year-old boys. J Sport Sci. 2006;24(3):241-51. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640410500141661

[115] Parrish AM, Okely AD, Stanley RM, Ridgers ND. The effect of school recess interventions on physical activity: A systematic review. Sport Med. 2013;43(4):287-99. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-013-0024-2

[116] RWJF. Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. Recess rules – Why the undervalued playtime may be America's best investment for healthy kids and healthy schools. 2007.

[117] Yildirim M, Arundell L, Cerin E, et al. What helps children to move more at school recess and lunchtime? Mid-intervention results from Transform-Us! cluster-randomised controlled trial. Br J Sport Med. 2014;48(3):271-7. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2013-092466

[118] Ridgers ND, Timperio A, Crawford D, Salmon J. What factors are associated with adolescents' school break time physical activity and sedentary time? PLoS One. 2013;8(2):e56838. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056838

[119] Huberty JL, Siahpush M, Beighle A, Fuhrmeister E, Silva P, Welk G. Ready for recess: a pilot study to increase physical activity in elementary school children. J School Health. 2011;81(5):251-7. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1746-1561.2011.00591.x

[120] Reston VA. Recess for elementary school students [Position paper]. 2006.

[121] Van Der Horst K, Paw MJ, Twisk JW, Van Mechelen W. A brief review on correlates of physical activity and sedentariness in youth. Med Sci Sport Exerc. 2007;39(8):1241-50. https://doi.org/10.1249/mss.0b013e318059bf35

[122] Hinkley T, Salmon J, Okely AD, Trost SG. Correlates of sedentary behaviours in preschool children: A review. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2010;7:66. https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-7-66

[123] Ridgers ND, Salmon J, Parrish AM, Stanley RM, Okely AD. Physical activity during school recess: A systematic review. Am J Prevent Med. 2012;43(3):320-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2012.05.019

[124] Hinkley T, Crawford D, Salmon J, Okely AD, Hesketh K. Preschool children and physical activity: A review of correlates. Am J Prevent Med. 2008;34(5):435-41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2008.02.001

[125] Welk GJ. The youth physical activity promotion model: A conceptual bridge between theory and practice. Quest. 1999;51:5-23. https://doi.org/10.1080/00336297.1999.10484297

[126] Haug E, Torsheim T, Sallis JF, Samdal O. The characteristics of the outdoor school environment associated with physical activity. Health Educ Res. 2010;25(2):248-56. https://doi.org/10.1093/her/cyn050

[127] Ridgers ND, Graves LE, Foweather L, Stratton G. Examining influences on boy's and girls' physical activity patterns: the A-CLASS project. Pediatr Exerc Sci. 2010;22(4):638-50. https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.22.4.638

[128] Brusseau TA, Kulinna PH, Tudor-Locke C, Ferry M, van der Mars H, Darst PW. Pedometer-determined segmented physical activity patterns of fourth- and fifth-grade children. J Phys Act Health. 2011;8(2):279-86. https://doi.org/10.1123/jpah.8.2.279

[129] Martinez-Gomez D, Calabro MA, Welk GJ, Marcos A, Veiga OL. Reliability and validity of a school recess physical activity recall in Spanish youth. Pediatr Exerc Sci. 2010;22(2):218-30. https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.22.2.218

[130] Ridgers ND, Toth M, Uvacsek M. Physical activity levels of Hungarian children during school recess. Prevent Med. 2009;49(5):410-2. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2009.08.008

[131] Sallis JF, Alcaraz JE, McKenzie TL, Hovell MF. Predictors of change in children's physical activity over 20 months. Variations by gender and level of adiposity. Am J Prevent Med. 1999;16(3):222-9.

[132] Sallis JF, Alcaraz JE, McKenzie TL, Hovell MF, Kolody B, Nader PR. Parental behavior in relation to physical activity and fitness in 9-year-old children. Am J Diseas Child. 1992;146(11):1383-8. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpedi.1992.02160230141035

[133] Sallis JF, Bowles HR, Bauman A, et al. Neighborhood environments and physical activity among adults in 11 countries. Am J Prevent Med. 2009;36(6):484-90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2009.01.031

[134] Sallis JF, Carlson JA, Mignano AM. Promoting youth physical activity through physical education and after-school programs. Adolesc Med: State Art Rev. 2012;23(3):493-510.

[135] Sallis JF, Prochaska JJ, Taylor WC. A review of correlates of physical activity of children and adolescents. Med Sci Sport Exerc. 2000;32(5):963-75. https://doi.org/10.1097/00005768-200005000-00014

[136] Corder K, van Sluijs EM, Wright A, Whincup P, Wareham NJ, Ekelund U. Is it possible to assess free-living physical activity and energy expenditure in young people by self-report? Am J Clin Nutr. 2009;89:862-870. https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.2008.26739

[137] Casperson C, Powell K, Christenson G. Physical activity, exercise, and physical fitness: Definitions and distinctions for health-related research. Publ Health Rep. 1985;100(2):126-31.

[139] Shapiro DR, Malone LA. Quality of life and psychological affect related to sport participation in children and youth athletes with physical disabilities: A parent and athlete perspective. Disability Health J. 2016;9(3):385-91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dhjo.2015.11.007

[140] Bjornson KF, McLaughlin JF. The measurement of health-related quality of life (HRQL) in children with cerebral palsy. Eur J Neurol : the official journal of the European Federation of Neurological Societies. 2001;8 Suppl 5:183-93. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1468-1331.2001.00051.x

[141] Schwartz C, Andersen EM, Nosek M, Krahn GL. RRTC Expert Panel on Health Status Measurement. Response shift theory: Important implications for measuring quality of life in people with disability. Arch Phys Med Rehab. 2007;88(4):529-36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2006.12.032

[142] Holt-Lunstad J, Smith TB, Layton JB. Social relationships and mortality risk: A meta-analytic review. PLoS Med. 2010;7(7):e1000316. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000316

[143] House JS, Landis KR, Umberson D. Social relationships and health. Science. 1988;241(4865):540-5. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.3399889

[144] Yang Y, Kozloski M. Change of sex gaps in total and cause-specific mortality over the life span in the United States. Ann Epidemiol. 2012;22(2):94-103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annepidem.2011.06.006

[145] Yang Y, Kozloski M. Sex differences in age trajectories of physiological dysregulation: Inflammation, metabolic syndrome, and allostatic load. J Gerontol Series A, Biol Sci Med Sci. 2011;66(5):493-500. https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glr003

[145] Yang YC, McClintock MK, Kozloski M, Li T. Social isolation and adult mortality: The role of chronic inflammation and sex differences. J Health Soc Behav. 2013;54(2):183-203. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022146513485244

[146] Cacioppo JT, Hawkley LC. Social isolation and health, with an emphasis on underlying mechanisms. Persp Biol Med. 2003;46(3 Suppl):S39-52. https://doi.org/10.1353/pbm.2003.0063

[147] Clark CCT. Is obesity actually non-communicable? Obesity Med. 2017;8:27-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obmed.2017.10.001

[148] Sherwood L. Human Physiology: From Cells to Systems. West Virginia. USA: Cengage Learning; 2016.

[149] McArdle WD, Katch FI, Katch VL. Essentials of exercise physiology. 2 ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2000.

[150] Moe-Nilssen R. A new method for evaluating motor control in gait under real-life environmental conditions. Part 2: Gait analysis. Clin Biomech. 1998;13(4-5):328-35. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0268-0033(98)00090-4

[151] Moe-Nilssen R. A new method for evaluating motor control in gait under real-life environmental conditions. Part 1: The instrument. Clin Biomech. 1998;13(4-5):320-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0268-0033(98)00089-8

[152] Moe-Nilssen R, Helbostad JL. Estimation of gait cycle characteristics by trunk accelerometry. J Biomech. 2004;37(1):121-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9290(03)00233-1

[153] Rispens SM, Van Dieen JH, Van Schooten KS, et al. Fall-related gait characteristics on the treadmill and in daily life. J Neuroeng Rehab. 2016;13:12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-016-0118-9

[154] Rispens SM, Pijnappels M, van Schooten KS, Beek PJ, Daffertshofer A, van Dieen JH. Consistency of gait characteristics as determined from acceleration data collected at different trunk locations. Gait & Posture. 2014;40(1):187-92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2014.03.182

[155] Rispens SM, Pijnappels M, van Dieen JH, van Schooten KS, Beek PJ, Daffertshofer A. A benchmark test of accuracy and precision in estimating dynamical systems characteristics from a time series. J Biomech. 2014;47(2):470-5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2013.10.037

[156] Zijlstra W. Assessment of spatio-temporal parameters during unconstrained walking. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2004;92(1-2):39-44. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-004-1041-5

[157] Zijlstra A, Goosen JH, Verheyen CC, Zijlstra W. A body-fixed-sensor based analysis of compensatory trunk movements during unconstrained walking. Gait & Posture. 2008;27(1):164-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2007.02.010

[158] Schwickert L, Becker C, Lindemann U, et al. Fall detection with body-worn sensors A systematic review. Zeitschrift fur Gerontologie und Geriatrie. 2013;46(8):706-19. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00391-013-0559-8

[159] Doi T, Hirata S, Ono R, Tsutsumimoto K, Misu S, Ando H. The harmonic ratio of trunk acceleration predicts falling among older people: results of a 1-year prospective study. J Neuroeng Rehab. 2013;10:7. https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-0003-10-7

[160] Yack HJ, Berger RC. Dynamic stability in the elderly: identifying a possible measure. J Gerontol. 1993;48(5):M225-30. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronj/48.5.M225

[161] Lamoth CJ, Beek PJ, Meijer OG. Pelvis-thorax coordination in the transverse plane during gait. Gait & Posture. 2002;16(2):101-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0966-6362(01)00146-1

[162] Wolf A, Swift JB, Swinney HL, Vastano JA. Determining Lyapunov exponents from a time-series. Physica D. 1985;16(3):285–317. https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(85)90011-9

[163] Richman JS, Moorman JR. Physiological time-series analysis using approximate entropy and sample entropy. Am J Physiol Heart Circulat Physiol. 2000;278(6):H2039-49. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.2000.278.6.H2039

[164] Marschollek M, Rehwald A, Wolf KH, et al. Sensor-based fall risk assessment – An expert 'to go'. Method Inform Med. 2011;50(5):420-6. https://doi.org/10.3414/ME10-01-0040

[165] Marschollek M, Rehwald A, Wolf KH, et al. Sensors vs. experts – A performance comparison of sensor-based fall risk assessment vs. conventional assessment in a sample of geriatric patients. BMC Med Informatic Desision Making. 2011;11:48. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6947-11-48

[166] Marschollek M, Schulze M, Gietzelt M, Lovel N, Redmond SJ. Fall prediction with wearable sensors – An empirical study on expert opinions. Stud Health Technol Informatic. 2013;190:138-40.

[167] Rispens SM, van Schooten KS, Pijnappels M, Daffertshofer A, Beek PJ, van Dieen JH. Identification of fall risk predictors in daily life measurements: gait characteristics' reliability and association with self-reported fall history. Neurorehab Neural Repair. 2015;29(1):54-61. https://doi.org/10.1177/1545968314532031

[168] Clark CCT. Profiling movement and gait quality using accelerometry in children's physical activity: consider quality, not just quantity. Br J Sports Med. 2017. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2017-098204

[169] Clark CCT, Barnes CM, Holton MD, Summers HD, Stratton G. Profiling movement quality and gait characteristics according to body-mass index in children (9–11 y). Hum Mov Sci. 2016;49:291-300. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2016.08.003

[170] Clark CCT, Barnes CM, Summers HD, Mackintosh KA, Stratton G. Profiling movement quality characteristics of children (9-11y) during recess. Eur J Hum Mov. 2018;39:143-60.

[171] Clark CCT, Barnes CM, Swindell NJ, et al. Profiling movement and gait quality characteristics in pre-school children. J Mot Behav. 2017:1-9. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222895.2017.1375454

[172] Cohen KE, Morgan PJ, Plotnikoff RC, Callister R, Lubans DR. Fundamental movement skills and physical activity among children living in low-income communities: A cross-sectional study. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2014;11(1):49. https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-11-49

[173] Lord S, Rochester L, Baker K, Nieuwboer A. Concurrent validity of accelerometry to measure gait in Parkinsons Disease. Gait & Posture. 2008;27(2):357-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2007.04.001

[174] Metcalf B, Henley W, Wilkin T. Effectiveness of intervention on physical activity of children: systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled trials with objectively measured outcomes (EarlyBird 54). BMJ. 2012;345:e5888. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.e5888

[175] Altenburg TM, Kist-van Holthe J, Chinapaw MJ. Effectiveness of intervention strategies exclusively targeting reductions in children's sedentary time: a systematic review of the literature. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2016;13(1):65. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-016-0387-5

Cite this article as:

Clark CTC, Barnes CM.
Paediatric physical activity and health: Moving towards a measure of quality.
Balt J Health Phys Act. 2018;10(4):7-24.
doi: 10.29359/BJHPA.10.4.01